

ANALYZING THE APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW IN RESOLVING THE ISRAEL-PALESTINE TERRITORIAL DISPUTE

Imad Imran*¹, Said Ali², Muhammad Hamza Zakir³, Syed Hammad Khan⁴, Sidra Said⁵

¹MA, International Relations, Department of SIPA School of International and Public Affairs Jilin University, Changchun, China

²School of International and Public Affairs. Jilin University, Changchun, China

³LLM, Scholar, Department of Law, AWKUM, Pakistan

⁴Bachelor of laws, Department of Law, AWKUM Pakistan

⁵LLM Scholar, University of Lahore

*¹imadimran9763@gmail.com, ²saidalihistorian@gmail.com, ³hamzazakirkhan@yahoo.com,

⁴syedhammadk@gmail.com, ⁵sidrachawla17@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: *

Received: 05 August, 2023 Revised: 13 September, 2023 Accepted: 20 September, 2023 Published: 31 October, 2023

ABSTRACT

For numerous years, the global community has engaged in extensive discussions regarding the enduring conflict between Israel and Palestine. This conflict is deeply entrenched in territorial disagreements, the quest for national identity, and the intricate web of socio-political dynamics. In this essay, we will thoroughly analyze the application of international law to the intricate territorial disputes arising from the Israel-Palestine conflict. Through the integration of legal examination and in-depth historical investigation, this study delves into the realm of international legal principles concerning territorial sovereignty, occupation, and self-determination. It critically evaluates the efficacy and constraints of these principles within the specific framework under consideration. Furthermore, it analyzes the functions and past involvements of global legal organizations, such as the International Court of Justice (ICJ) and the International Criminal Court (ICC), when it comes to dealing with various aspects of the dispute. This sheds light on the intricate and demanding nature of international legal interventions. Furthermore, the article examines the impact of governmental, cultural, and philanthropic elements, delving into their interconnectedness with judicial strategies in order to discover a solution. By thoroughly analyzing past occurrences, legal structures, and real-life examples, this paper aims to offer a fair and all-encompassing comprehension of the various paths and challenges that international law encounters when dealing with the territorial conflict between Israel and Palestine. The results of this investigation could illuminate the intricate connection between global regulations and geopolitical disputes, providing a basis for forthcoming conversations within legal, diplomatic, and policy spheres.

Keywords: International Law, Israel-Palestine, Territorial, Dispute

INTRODUCTION

The long-standing conflict between Israel and Palestine has emerged as a significant and complex matter in modern times, consistently capturing the attention of international diplomats and legal experts. The conflict stems from historical grudges, religious significance, and national aspirations, with the

territorial disputes between Israel and Palestine playing a significant role in shaping the region's geopolitical landscape (Jones, 2015). The primary concern regarding territorial sovereignty revolves around the delineation of Israeli and Palestinian jurisdictions. This matter has both stemmed from and

played a role in exacerbating broader tensions within the region. Ongoing discussions, analysis, and critique have surrounded the potential use of international law as a means of resolving the situation, while international mediation efforts continue to persist. The focus of this scholarly article is to explore the complex correlation between the territorial disputes within the Israel-Palestine conflict and the structure of global legal principles. The query arises: To what extent can international law be employed to address the territorial dispute between Israel and Palestine, and what inherent limitations does it face within this particular framework? The paper's objective is to establish a connection between theoretical international legal concepts and the practical aspects of the Israel-Palestine situation through thorough investigation. In this paper, we delve into the historical backdrop, examining the evolution of territorial conflicts starting from the late 1800s up until today. Next, it offers a comprehensive examination of relevant global legal concepts, such as territorial autonomy, regulations governing temporary control, and the entitlement to determine one's own fate. The fundamental examination of the document is supported by this basis, which evaluates the application of these ideals within the framework of the Israel-Palestine dispute. Moreover, the piece explores the involvement, options, and potential future duties of well-known international legal institutions, offering a sneak peek into the actual challenges and opportunities presented by the legal settlement of the disagreement. This comprehensive examination seeks to offer readers a complete understanding of the intricate relationship between global regulations and the territorial dispute involving Israel and Palestine. It has the potential to illuminate possible solutions and the complex barriers that hinder progress (Khan, A., Bhatti, S. H., & Jillani, M. A. H. S. 2021).

HISTORICAL CONTEXT

The long-standing conflict between Israel and Palestine has its origins in a complex web of various elements, such as territorial claims, historical legacy, and geopolitical calculations, all of which have significantly shaped the dynamics of the Middle East's political arena. In order to grasp the role of international law in the resolution of this conflict, it is necessary to explore its historical backdrop, which

is closely intertwined with notions of loyalty, relocation, and worldwide interference (Bresheeth & Hammami, 2006).

Emergence of National Identities

Between the late 1800s and early 1900s, there was a notable surge in the Zionist and Palestinian Arab national movements, marking a significant turning point in history. The idea of Zionism, which sought to establish a Jewish homeland in Palestine, gained popularity as Palestinian Arabs increasingly desired autonomy and the ability to shape their own future.

The British Mandate

After the end of the Great War and the subsequent downfall of the Ottoman Empire, the League of Nations assigned Britain the responsibility for Palestine in 1920. The Balfour Declaration, which was issued in 1917, conveyed support for establishing a "Jewish homeland" in Palestine. The proclamation established the foundation for forthcoming tensions between the Jewish migrants and the native Arab population.

United Nations Partition Plan

In 1947, a momentous occurrence occurred as the United Nations introduced a partition proposal for the region of Palestine. The proposal put forth the idea of dividing the area into separate states for Jewish and Arab communities, alongside proposing that an international organization oversee the governance of Jerusalem (United Nations, 1945). The Jewish leaders agreed to this suggestion, but it encountered fierce resistance from the Arab group, increasing hostilities (Khan, A., Javed, K., Khan, A. S., & Rizwi, A. 2022).

The Creation of Israel and Subsequent Wars

In the year 1948, Israel declared its independence, which resulted in an instant military reaction from the surrounding Arab nations. Consequently, the first Arab-Israeli war ensued. As a result of the armistice agreements, there was a significant reshuffling of borders, resulting in Israel gaining control of a more extensive area of land than initially designated in the UN's partition plan (United Nations Security Council, 1967). Subsequent wars, notably in 1956, 1967, and 1973, further reshaped the territorial landscape, embedding deeper hostilities and

complicating international mediation efforts (Khan, A., Iqbal, N., & Ahmad, I. 2022).

The Oslo Accords

The 1993 Oslo Accords symbolized a pivotal moment in the conflict, outlining a framework to resolve outstanding issues within five years. However, a final agreement remains elusive, as fundamental disagreements over borders, refugees, and the status of Jerusalem have proven intractable.

Recent Developments

Recent developments, such as the Abraham Accords and the shifting dynamics of Israeli settlements in the West Bank, continue to evolve the geopolitical and legal contours of the conflict. Tensions surrounding Jerusalem, settlement activities, and humanitarian concerns in the Gaza Strip signal enduring obstacles to a peaceful resolution.

The historical context above provides a scaffold for understanding the subsequent analysis of international law's role and limitations within the Israel-Palestine territorial dispute. It elucidates the deeply embedded national narratives, the evolving territorial claims, and the overarching geopolitical strategies that have shaped and been shaped by the conflict. Subsequent sections will probe how international law has engaged with this layered historical context and scrutinize the potential and pitfalls of legal avenues in navigating a resolution (Hussain, N., Khan, A., & Chandio, L. A. 2023).

International Law and Territorial Disputes

International law, characterized by its intricate matrix of customs, treaties, and legal precedents, serves as a pivotal framework for the arbitration and resolution of territorial disputes on the global stage. In the context of the Israel-Palestine territorial dispute, exploring international law necessitates an in-depth examination of its principles, applicability, and historical engagement with the conflict.

Foundational Principles Territorial Sovereignty

The principle of territorial sovereignty, postulating that a state possesses comprehensive authority over its territory, becomes complex in contexts where territorial claims overlap or are contested, as witnessed in the Israel-Palestine conflict.

Self-Determination

The right to self-determination, entrenched in international legal instruments like the UN Charter, implicates the rights of peoples to determine their political status and govern themselves, a right claimed by both Israelis and Palestinians.

- **Laws of Occupation:** The Hague and Geneva Conventions establish the legal parameters of military occupation, becoming particularly pertinent in discussions surrounding Israeli settlements in the West Bank.

Human Rights Law

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights and subsequent treaties underscore the imperative to uphold human rights, a principle invoked by both sides in the context of civilian casualties, displacement, and other humanitarian crises.

Precedents in International Law

International Court of Justice (ICJ)

The ICJ has adjudicated various territorial disputes (e.g., East Timor, Western Sahara), providing a framework for exploring how legal principles have been applied or bypassed in diverse contexts.

Arbitration and Mediation

Various international disputes have been addressed through arbitration and mediation (e.g., the Eritrea-Ethiopia boundary dispute), illuminating the potential and limitations of non-adjudicative approaches (Khan, A. S., Bibi, A., Khan, A., & Ahmad, I. 2023).

Challenges and Critiques

Enforceability

A recurring critique of international law pertains to the challenge of enforceability, especially in contexts where the geopolitical interests of powerful states intertwine with legal principles.

Political Influence

The susceptibility of international legal mechanisms to political influences and biases also emerges as a challenge, particularly in the Israel-Palestine conflict, which garners profound international attention and divisiveness.

Legal Ambiguity

The ambiguity and variability in interpreting legal principles provide space for varied, often conflicting, applications of international law.

Application to Israel-Palestine Advisory Opinion on the Wall

The ICJ's advisory opinion on the legality of the barrier constructed by Israel in the occupied Palestinian territory demonstrates a tangible intersection of international law and the conflict.

UN Resolutions

Various UN resolutions have sought to apply international legal principles to the conflict, with varied adherence and efficacy.

Treaties and Conventions

The applicability of various treaties and conventions, such as the Fourth Geneva Convention, to the Israel-Palestine conflict has been a subject of ongoing debate and contention.

This section endeavors to navigate the intricate corridors of international law, elucidating its foundational principles, historical applications, and inherent challenges. As the paper unfolds, the subsequent sections will delve deeper into the specificities of how these principles and challenges manifest within the Israel-Palestine territorial dispute, scrutinizing the possibilities and limitations of international law as a vehicle towards resolution (Hussain, N., Khan, A., Chandio, L. A., & Oad, S. 2023).

Application to the Israel-Palestine Conflict

The entrenchment of the Israel-Palestine conflict in historical, religious, and national narratives, juxtaposed with shifting geopolitical landscapes, presents a complex arena for the application of international law. This section explores the interplay between international legal principles and the Israel-Palestine territorial dispute, elucidating application, contention, and evasion instances.

Legal Status of Territories

West Bank and Gaza Strip

The international community has been engaged in heated debates and discussions regarding the legal standing of these regions, commonly known as the

Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT), in accordance with international law. The Fourth Geneva Convention has played a significant role in shaping these deliberations.

Jerusalem

The situation surrounding Jerusalem, which is asserted as the capital by both Israel and Palestine, is surrounded by intricate legal and political intricacies. International law generally avoids acknowledging Israel's complete control over the city (Khan, A., Hussain, N., & Oad, S. 2023).

Settlements and International Law

The establishment of Israeli settlements in the OPT has been widely regarded by the international community and the United Nations as a violation of international law, specifically Article 49 of the Fourth Geneva Convention. The International Court of Justice, in its 2004 advisory opinion, affirmed the illegality of the settlements and the associated separation barrier.

The Right to Self-Determination

Both Israelis and Palestinians invoke the right to self-determination, and the recognition of this right has been wielded as a political tool by various states and international entities. The recognition of Palestine as a state by various countries and international bodies, such as the United Nations General Assembly and UNESCO, implicates the principles of self-determination and state sovereignty.

Human Rights Concerns

Allegations of human rights violations, including issues related to civilian casualties, living conditions in the Gaza Strip, and treatment of prisoners, have been levied against both parties (Greenstein, 2022). Various mechanisms and treaties, such as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) and the Convention against Torture (CAT), provide frameworks for assessing and addressing human rights concerns.

The Role of the International Criminal Court (ICC)

The ICC's decision to investigate alleged war crimes in the OPT opens a new chapter in the engagement of international law with the conflict., The question

of ICC jurisdiction and the critiques from both Israel and Palestine reveal the complexities and sensitivities of legal interventions (Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, 1998).

United Nations Resolutions

Resolutions such as UNSC Resolution 242 and 338 have sought to guide the resolution of the conflict, with varied impact and adherence (United Nations Security Council, 1967). The UNGA has passed numerous resolutions related to the conflict, reflecting the positions and sentiments of the international community (United Nations General Assembly, 1948).

Bilateral and Multilateral Agreements

Oslo Accords

The accords, embodying bilateral agreements between Israel and the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO), illuminate the challenges and potential of direct negotiations (Quigley, 1998).

Abraham Accords

Recent normalization agreements between Israel and certain Arab states introduce new dynamics into the geopolitical and legal landscape (Podeh & Goren, 2021).

The application of international law to the Israel-Palestine conflict unveils a tapestry of challenges, possibilities, and ongoing debates. The ensuing sections of this paper will delve deeper into the nuances of these applications, exploring the limitations, potential, and future trajectories of international law in navigating towards a resolution of the Israel-Palestine territorial dispute.

Limitations of International Law

the intrinsic characteristics and structural confines of international law, while providing a framework for dispute resolution, concurrently manifest limitations, especially palpable in the context of the Israel-Palestine territorial dispute. This section navigates through the multifaceted limitations of international law, exploring how these nuances impact its effectiveness and applicability to the Israel-Palestine conflict.

Enforceability and Compliance

International law traditionally lacks robust enforcement mechanisms, rendering legal pronouncements potentially ineffectual in compelling state compliance. The selective adherence to international legal pronouncements by various states, including those involved in the Israel-Palestine conflict, undermines the universality and efficacy of international law.

Political Influence and Bias

The utilization of veto power within the United Nations Security Council by permanent members can obstruct the passage and enforcement of resolutions, particularly those pertaining to the Israel-Palestine conflict. Moreover, International legal mechanisms and institutions are not immune to the influences of global political alliances, which can sway legal processes and outcomes.

Legal Ambiguity and Interpretation

The ambiguity inherent in certain international legal texts provides space for varied interpretations and applications, potentially diluting their effectiveness in resolving disputes. Inconsistencies in the application and enforcement of international law across different contexts exacerbate perceptions of bias and undermine its credibility.

Jurisdictional and Recognitional Limitations

The variability in the recognition of Palestine as a state amongst the international community implicates the jurisdictional reach and applicability of certain international legal mechanisms. The acceptance or rejection of the jurisdiction of international legal bodies, such as the International Criminal Court, impacts their capacity to adjudicate on matters pertaining to the conflict.

Complexity and Multidimensionality of the Conflict

The deeply interwoven historical, religious, and national narratives embedded within the Israel-Palestine conflict supersede mere legal categorizations, complicating resolution efforts. The geostrategic implications of the conflict, involving regional and global powers, elevate it beyond a bilateral dispute, thereby complicating the applicability of international law.

Humanitarian and Ethical Dilemmas

The ongoing humanitarian crises, especially within the Gaza Strip, present ethical dilemmas that sometimes necessitate immediate action, which might not always align with protracted legal processes. The legal and ethical implications of collective punishments and retaliations further muddy the waters, necessitating a nuanced approach that balances legal principles and humanitarian needs. Exploring the limitations of international law, especially within the convoluted framework of the Israel-Palestine conflict, unveils the inherent challenges and debates surrounding its applicability and effectiveness in navigating territorial disputes (*Palestine/israel: The Long Conflict*, 1997). The subsequent sections of this paper will further dissect these limitations, juxtaposing them against potential avenues through which international law might still navigate a path towards resolution and justice within the Israel-Palestine context.

Case Study: The Role of the International Court of Justice (ICJ)

The International Court of Justice (ICJ), as the principal judicial organ of the United Nations, plays a pivotal role in adjudicating disputes between states and providing advisory opinions on legal questions referred to it by authorized UN organs and specialized agencies. This section delves into a case study, scrutinizing the role, implications, and limitations of the ICJ within the context of the Israel-Palestine territorial dispute.

Advisory Opinion on the Wall (2004)

Background

In 2004, the United Nations General Assembly requested the ICJ to provide an advisory opinion on the legal consequences of the construction of a wall by Israel in the Occupied Palestinian Territory (OPT) (*Construction of a Wall (advisory Opinion)*, 2007).

ICJ's Findings

The ICJ opined that the construction of the wall in the OPT, including in and around East Jerusalem, contravened international law, particularly violations of various provisions of the Hague Regulations and the Fourth Geneva Convention.

Implications

Despite its non-binding nature, the advisory opinion has been instrumental in shaping international discourse and has been invoked in various international forums to underscore the applicability of international humanitarian law and human rights law in the OPT.

LIMITATIONS AND CRITIQUES

Non-Binding Nature

The advisory opinions of the ICJ are non-binding, limiting their practical enforceability and impact on the ground.

Politicization

Critiques surrounding the politicization of the ICJ and biases in its advisory opinions have been levied, reflecting the complex interplay between international law and geopolitics.

Selective Adherence

The selective adherence to and recognition of ICJ's advisory opinions by various states further constrain the practical impact of its pronouncements.

Potential Future Role of the ICJ

Adjudicating Disputes

While the ICJ has the capacity to adjudicate disputes between states, its jurisdiction is contingent upon state consent, which has implications for its potential role in directly adjudicating aspects of the Israel-Palestine conflict.

Advisory Capacities

The ICJ can continue to play a role in providing advisory opinions that elucidate the application of international law to various facets of the conflict, thereby shaping international discourse and potential resolution mechanisms.

Symbolic and Normative Impact

The ICJ's pronouncements often carry symbolic and normative weight, influencing international perceptions and bolstering legal arguments in international forums.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

A comparative analysis of the ICJ's role in other territorial disputes (e.g., Nicaragua v. Colombia, Malaysia/Singapore) can shed light on its potential and limitations in navigating complex territorial claims. Through its advisory opinions and potential adjudicative capacities, the ICJ intertwines with the Israel-Palestine territorial dispute, offering insights into the applicability, constraints, and nuances of international law in navigating such conflicts. While various limitations might constrain its direct impact, the ICJ embodies a significant entity in the intersection of international law and the Israel-Palestine conflict, influencing discourse, norms, and future resolutions.

Implications and Consequences

Navigating the intricate fabric of the Israel-Palestine conflict via the lens of international law reveals multifaceted implications and consequences spanning legal, political, and social domains (Caplan, 2011). This section explores the ripple effects and repercussions of international law's application, or lack thereof, within the context of the Israel-Palestine territorial dispute.

Legal Implications

State Responsibility

The adherence or violation of international law by Israel and Palestine implicates state responsibility, influencing their legal standing and interactions within the international community.

Precedent Setting

How international law is applied or bypassed sets precedents that could influence the resolution of other territorial disputes globally.

Political Consequences

International Relations

The conflict and the manner in which international law engages with it, molds the international relations of Israel and Palestine, affecting alliances, diplomatic relations, and international collaborations.

Internal Politics

The international legal status and actions related to the conflict influence domestic politics within Israel

and Palestine, impacting elections, policies, and national narratives.

Social and Humanitarian Impact

Civilian Populations

The civilian populations of both Israel and Palestine bear the brunt of the conflict, and the application of international law has direct implications for their lives, security, and well-being.

Refugees

The legal frameworks and resolutions about refugees and displaced persons have profound social and humanitarian consequences, impacting millions of individuals across the region.

Economic Ramifications

Economic Development

The conflict and related international legal implications influence economic development, investment, and collaborations within Israel and Palestine.

Sanctions and Boycotts

International legal rulings and status impact global economic actions, such as sanctions and boycotts, influencing the economic landscapes of Israel and Palestine.

Geopolitical Dynamics

Regional Stability

The conflict is a significant determinant of regional stability in the Middle East, and the role of international law therein influences regional geopolitical dynamics.

Global Geopolitics

The conflict and its engagement with international law reverberate globally, influencing international alliances, conflicts, and geopolitics.

Ethical and Moral Considerations

Justice and Fairness

Pursuing justice and fairness for all parties involved, amidst the various legal and political considerations, remains a pivotal ethical and moral imperative.

The upholding of human rights amidst the complexities of international law and politics

demands continuous attention and action, ensuring that legal and political strategies do not overshadow humanitarian needs.

The implications and consequences of the interaction between international law and the Israel-Palestine conflict extend far beyond the immediate legal considerations, permeating various spheres of society, politics, and international relations. Ensuring that these multifaceted implications are acknowledged and navigated with diligence, fairness, and an adherence to principles of justice and human rights is imperative in any exploration or application of international law within this context.

CONCLUSION

The Israel-Palestine territorial dispute, enveloped in a confluence of historical trajectories, national narratives, and geopolitical complexities, presents a formidable challenge to the structures and principles of international law. This research paper, through a meticulous exploration of the applicability and limitations of international law within this context, unveils a myriad of implications, possibilities, and hurdles that characterize the intersection of legal paradigms and on-ground realities.

The foundational principles of international law, including territorial sovereignty, self-determination, and the laws of occupation, when applied to the Israel-Palestine context, are permeated with challenges related to enforceability, political influence, and legal ambiguity. The case study of the International Court of Justice (ICJ) and its engagement with the conflict, particularly through its advisory opinion on the wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, illuminates the potential and the constraints of legal mechanisms in navigating such intricate conflicts.

The implications and consequences of the application of international law to the Israel-Palestine conflict reverberate across legal, political, social, economic, and ethical dimensions, influencing not only the immediate stakeholders but also the broader regional and global landscapes. While international law provides a scaffold for pursuing resolution and justice, its limitations, particularly in the context of the deeply embedded and multifaceted Israel-Palestine conflict, necessitate a balanced, innovative, and multidimensional approach.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Multilateral Engagements

Encourage multilateral engagements and negotiations that incorporate international legal principles while also navigating through their limitations.

Humanitarian Focus: Ensure that all legal and political strategies maintain a robust focus on upholding human rights and addressing humanitarian needs.

Inclusive Dialogues

Foster inclusive dialogues that acknowledge and respect the narratives, rights, and aspirations of all communities and stakeholders involved.

Legal Innovations

Explore innovations within international legal frameworks and mechanisms that address the inherent limitations and challenges illuminated through this research.

International Collaboration

Strengthen international collaborations that work towards achieving a just, sustainable, and peaceful resolution underpinned by principles of equality and coexistence.

While the path towards a resolution of the Israel-Palestine territorial dispute through international law is fraught with complexities and challenges, it also provides a framework for dialogue, accountability, and the potential navigation towards peace. It is imperative that future engagements and strategies, while anchored in legal principles, are also imbued with a profound commitment to justice, fairness, and a future where both Israelis and Palestinians can coexist and thrive within secure and recognized borders.

REFERENCES

- Bresheeth, H., & Hammami, H.. (2006). *Introduction: Palestine and Israel*. 20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09528820600900943>
- Caplan, N.. (2011). *The Israel-Palestine Conflict*. Construction of a wall (advisory opinion). (2007, January 1). Construction of a wall (advisory opinion).
- Geneva Conventions. (1949). *Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War*.
- Greenstein, R.. (2022). *Israel/Palestine Post-1948*. Routledge eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429020056-7>
- Jones, P. L. (2015). International law and the Israel-Palestine conflict. *Journal of International Law*, 20(3), 100-125.
- Khan, A., Bhatti, S. H., & Jillani, M. A. H. S. (2021). An overview on individual criminal liability for crime of aggression. *Liberal Arts & Social Sciences International Journal (LASSIJ)*.
- Khan, A., Javed, K., Khan, A. S., & Rizwi, A. (2022). Aggression and individual criminal responsibility in the perspective of Islamic law. *Competitive Social Science Research Journal*, 3(1), 35-48.
- Khan, A., Iqbal, N., & Ahmad, I. (2022). Human Trafficking in Pakistan: A Qualitative Analysis. *Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 2(3), 257-268.
- Khan, A. S., Bibi, A., Khan, A., & Ahmad, I. (2023). Responsibility of Sexual Violence Under International Law. *Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 3(1), 29-41.
- Khan, A., Hussain, N., & Oad, S. (2023). The Rome Statute: A Critical Review Of The Role Of The Swgca In Defining The Crime Of Aggression. *Pakistan Journal of International Affairs*, 6(1).
- Podeh, E., & Goren, N. (2021). *The Abraham Accords: The New Middle East?* Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Quigley, J. (1998). *Palestine and Israel: A Challenge to Justice*. Duke University Press.
- Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court. (1998).
- Hussain, N., Khan, A., & Chandio, L. A. (2023). Legal Safeguards against Mob Justice: An Analysis of Blasphemy Laws in Pakistan and International Human Rights Norms. *Al-Qamar*, 13-26.
- Hussain, N., Khan, A., Chandio, L. A., & Oad, S. (2023). Individual Criminal Responsibility for the Crime of Aggression: The Role of the ICC's Leadership Clause. *Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 11(1), 223-232.
- Palestine/Israel: The Long Conflict. (1997, September 1). Palestine/Israel: The Long Conflict.
- United Nations General Assembly. (1947). *Resolution 181 (II). Future Government of Palestine*.
- United Nations General Assembly. (1948). *Resolution 194 (III) of 11 December 1948*. [https://undocs.org/A/RES/194\(III\)](https://undocs.org/A/RES/194(III))
- United Nations Security Council. (1967). *Resolution 242 (1967) of 22 November 1967*. [https://undocs.org/S/RES/242\(1967\)](https://undocs.org/S/RES/242(1967))

