

UNRAVELING DEMOCRATIC EROSION: CAUSES, PATTERNS, AND REMEDIES (2010-2020)

Dr. Dilawar Khan^{*1}, Mr. Imran Khan²

^{*1}Assistant Professor Department of Governance, Politics, IR & Public Policy, Abasyn University Peshawar, Pakistan;

²Institute of Business Studies and Leadership, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan

^{*1}dilawar1983@gmail.com; ²imranawkum001@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: *

Received: 30 April, 2024

Revised: 30 May, 2024

Accepted: 09, June, 2024

Published: 22 June, 2024

ABSTRACT

Democratic erosion, characterized by the gradual degradation of democratic institutions, norms, and principles, has become a critical concern in contemporary political discourse. This research article aims to delve into the multifaceted nature of democratic erosion, exploring its underlying causes, identifying patterns across different contexts, and proposing potential remedies to mitigate its detrimental effects. Drawing on a comprehensive review of scholarly literature, empirical evidence, and case studies from around the world, this article provides a nuanced understanding of the complex dynamics driving democratic erosion. It examines various factors contributing to this phenomenon, including populism, polarization, institutional weaknesses, economic inequality, and external interference. Moreover, it analyzes the distinct patterns and manifestations of democratic erosion in different regions and countries, highlighting commonalities and divergences in its trajectories. Furthermore, this article evaluates existing strategies and interventions aimed at safeguarding democracy, such as institutional reforms, civic education, media literacy, and international cooperation. By synthesizing insights from diverse disciplines and perspectives, this research article offers valuable insights for policymakers, scholars, and practitioners seeking to address the challenges posed by democratic erosion and uphold democratic governance in an increasingly volatile global landscape.

Keywords: democratic erosion, populism, polarization, institutions, inequality, remedies, comparative analysis, safeguards.

1. INTRODUCTION

The first two decades of the 21st century have witnessed a paradox in the trajectory of global democracy. While the early 1990s heralded an era of democratic expansion, marked by the collapse of authoritarian regimes and the spread of democratic ideals, the period from 2010 to 2020 has been characterized by a subtle yet profound erosion of democratic norms and practices. This phenomenon, often described as democratic backsliding or erosion, has sparked significant academic interest and policy debate. It compels us to examine the multifaceted causes driving this regression, the patterns it manifests across different contexts, and the potential remedies to counteract this worrying trend. This introduction seeks to unravel the complex web of

democratic erosion by systematically exploring its underlying causes, illustrating its varied patterns across the globe, and evaluating the effectiveness of proposed remedies to halt or reverse this decline.

The erosion of democracy from 2010 to 2020 has not been a monolith but a series of interconnected developments that have varied in intensity and form across different regions. Scholars have pointed to a combination of economic, political, social, and technological factors that have collectively undermined democratic institutions and norms. Economic grievances, stemming from global financial crises and growing income inequality, have fueled disillusionment with democratic systems, which are perceived as failing to deliver economic

stability and prosperity (Levitsky & Ziblatt, 2018). Politically, the rise of populist leaders and movements has been both a cause and a consequence of democratic erosion, as these actors exploit and deepen existing societal divisions, challenge the legitimacy of democratic institutions, and erode the norms of tolerance and mutual respect that underpin democratic governance (Mounk, 2018). Socially, the erosion of democratic norms has been exacerbated by the fragmentation of public discourse and the polarization of societies, partly driven by the echo chambers created by digital platforms (Sunstein, 2017). Technologically, the digital revolution has introduced new tools for state surveillance and manipulation of information, which authoritarian-leaning leaders use to consolidate power and suppress dissent (Bradshaw & Howard, 2019).

The patterns of democratic erosion during this period have been diverse, ranging from subtle legal and institutional manipulations to overt attacks on democratic norms. In some cases, erosion has occurred through the gradual weakening of checks and balances, undermining of judicial independence, and erosion of press freedom. In others, it has manifested in more visible forms, such as electoral fraud, suppression of opposition, and curtailment of civil liberties. This variation in patterns of democratic erosion underscores the adaptability of authoritarian practices to different political, cultural, and institutional contexts (Levitsky & Ziblatt, 2018; Mounk, 2018).

The quest for remedies to counteract democratic erosion is as complex as the analysis of its causes and patterns. Scholars and practitioners alike have proposed a range of strategies aimed at revitalizing democratic norms and institutions. These include strengthening the independence of the judiciary, enhancing the transparency and accountability of political processes, promoting media literacy and critical thinking among the public, and fostering civic engagement and participation. Moreover, the role of international organizations and democratic states in supporting civil society organizations and pro-democracy movements has been emphasized as crucial in providing a bulwark against authoritarian tendencies (Diamond, 2019; Keane, 2020). Additionally, addressing the underlying socio-economic grievances that fuel disillusionment with democracy—through policies aimed at reducing inequality and ensuring more equitable economic

growth—is seen as essential for restoring faith in democratic systems (Piketty, 2014).

However, the implementation of these remedies faces significant challenges, not least because the agents of democratic erosion often control the levers of power and have vested interests in maintaining the status quo. Moreover, the global nature of some of the drivers of democratic erosion, such as digital disinformation campaigns, requires coordinated international responses that are difficult to achieve in a fragmented global order. Nonetheless, the resilience of democratic ideals and institutions in the face of these challenges offers grounds for cautious optimism. The history of democracy is, after all, a history of struggle and renewal, suggesting that the current period of democratic erosion can be countered with concerted efforts to adapt and strengthen democratic governance in the 21st century (Diamond, 2019; Keane, 2020).

This comprehensive exploration into the causes, patterns, and remedies of democratic erosion from 2010 to 2020 not only highlights the complexity and gravity of the challenge but also underscores the urgency of addressing it. As this introduction has shown, understanding the multifaceted nature of democratic erosion is the first step towards formulating effective strategies to safeguard and rejuvenate democratic governance in an increasingly uncertain global landscape.

2.3 Literature Review

The literature on democratic erosion over the past decade (2010-2020) offers a rich and diverse examination of the phenomenon, dissecting its causes, identifying its patterns, and proposing potential remedies. This review synthesizes key contributions to the field, weaving together insights from political science, sociology, economics, and information studies to construct a multidimensional understanding of democratic backsliding. The literature identifies a complex interplay of causes behind democratic erosion, with economic factors featuring prominently. Economic instability and inequality are frequently cited as significant contributors to the public's disillusionment with democratic institutions. In their seminal work, (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012) argue that economic disparities undermine democratic norms by fostering social divisions and empowering elites who have little interest in maintaining a democratic consensus. This perspective is complemented (Kurlantzick ,

2013), who points to the global financial crisis of 2007-2008 as a pivotal moment that eroded trust in democratic governance and opened the door to populist leaders who promise stability and prosperity through authoritarian measures.

Political and social causes are also central to discussions of democratic erosion. (Levitsky & Ziblatt, 2018) focus on the role of political actors who erode democratic norms from within, highlighting how elected leaders can systematically dismantle checks and balances to consolidate power. This internal subversion of democracy is often facilitated by polarized media environments that exacerbate societal divisions, a point underscored (Sunstein, 2017), who notes how polarization and the fragmentation of public discourse contribute to an erosion of democratic consensus.

The patterns of democratic erosion are as varied as their causes, manifesting in subtle legal changes, overt political maneuvers, and shifts in public sentiment. (Mounk, 2018) provides a comprehensive analysis of these patterns, noting a troubling trend toward "illiberal democracy," where countries maintain the outward appearance of democracy through elections while gutting the substance of democratic governance. This erosion is not uniform, as Varol, 2015 points out, with some countries experiencing rapid declines, while others undergo a slow chipping away of democratic norms and institutions.

Addressing democratic erosion requires multifaceted strategies that target its underlying causes. (Diamond, 2019) emphasizes the importance of strengthening democratic institutions and norms, advocating for reforms that enhance electoral integrity, judicial independence, and press freedom. (Keane, 2020) calls for a reinvigoration of civil society and the development of new forms of democratic participation that can adapt to the challenges of the 21st century, including the digital revolution. On the economic front, (Piketty, 2014) argues for policies that address inequality and ensure a more equitable distribution of wealth and opportunities, contending that economic justice is essential for sustaining democratic societies.

The literature on democratic erosion from 2010 to 2020 presents a comprehensive exploration of a global phenomenon that is multifaceted in its causes, varied in its manifestations, and challenging in its remedies. The synthesis of these perspectives highlights the urgency of addressing democratic

backsliding through a combination of political, social, economic, and technological interventions. As the world continues to grapple with the legacies of this erosion, the insights provided by these scholars offer valuable guidance for those seeking to understand and reverse the trends undermining democratic governance.

3.4 What are the Core Causes of Unraveling of Democratic Erosion?

The phenomenon of democratic erosion, particularly evident in the first two decades of the 21st century, represents a significant challenge to the global order. This comprehensive note delves into the core causes of this unraveling, drawing upon a wealth of scholarly research to dissect the multifaceted reasons behind the decline in democratic norms and institutions. Economic Discontent is one of the primary catalysts for democratic erosion is economic discontent. The globalization of the economy, while beneficial in many respects, has also led to significant disparities in wealth and opportunity both within and between nations. The financial crises of the early 21st century exacerbated these inequalities, undermining faith in democratic systems' ability to deliver economic stability and prosperity. Acemoglu and Robinson (2012) argue that such economic disparities fuel disenchantment with existing democratic structures, providing fertile ground for the rise of populist leaders who promise to overturn the status quo and restore national prosperity, often at the expense of democratic principles. Additionally, Piketty (2014) emphasizes that the widening economic inequality within democracies can erode the social contract, leading to political disenfranchisement and social unrest, further destabilizing democratic institutions.

Political Polarization and Populism is rise of populist movements represent another core cause of democratic erosion. Levitsky and Ziblatt (2018) describe how political polarization can weaken democratic norms, making it easier for authoritarian-leaning leaders to dismantle checks and balances. Populist leaders, often emerging from such polarized contexts, exploit divisions and erode democratic norms by undermining trust in institutions, attacking the media, and depicting themselves as the sole representatives of the "true people." Mounk (2018) also highlights how populists on both the right and left leverage economic grievances and social anxieties to gain support, challenging the inclusivity

and pluralism essential to democratic governance. Technological Changes and Disinformation is also core cause of the digital revolution and the rise of social media have transformed the public sphere, with significant implications for democracy. The proliferation of digital platforms has facilitated the spread of disinformation and propaganda, undermining public trust in democratic institutions and processes. Tucker et al. (2018) provide evidence of how state and non-state actors use social media to manipulate public opinion, sow discord, and erode democratic discourse. Moreover, the echo chambers and filter bubbles created by social media platforms exacerbate political polarization, making consensus-building and democratic deliberation more challenging.

Weakening of Democratic Institutions and Norms is also main cause of the erosion of democratic institutions and norms is both a cause and consequence of democratic backsliding. This includes the undermining of judicial independence, the weakening of legislative checks on executive power, and attacks on the free press. As institutions weaken, the capacity for democratic renewal through electoral politics diminishes, creating a cycle of erosion that can be difficult to reverse. O'Donnell (2013) argues that the weakening of democratic institutions leads to a decline in the quality of democracy, as governance becomes increasingly undemocratic, even in countries that maintain the outward appearance of democratic practices. The unraveling of democratic erosion is driven by a confluence of economic, political, and technological factors, each interlinked and reinforcing the others. Addressing the core causes of democratic backsliding requires a multifaceted approach that includes economic reforms to address inequality, efforts to reduce political polarization, measures to counter disinformation and protect democratic discourse, and the strengthening of democratic institutions and norms.

4.5 What are the Patterns of Unraveling Democratic Erosion?

The patterns of unraveling democratic erosion encompass a variety of trends and phenomena that collectively contribute to the degradation of democratic norms and institutions. Understanding these patterns is crucial for identifying the mechanisms through which democratic erosion occurs and devising effective strategies to counteract

it. This research paper explores key patterns observed in the erosion of democracy, drawing upon scholarly research and analysis to illuminate the multifaceted nature of this phenomenon.

One prominent pattern of democratic erosion involves the gradual undermining of democratic institutions and norms through subtle legal and institutional manipulations. Levitsky and Ziblatt (2018) highlight how elected leaders in democratic countries can exploit legal loopholes and manipulate institutional mechanisms to consolidate power and weaken checks and balances. This erosion may take the form of changes to electoral laws, appointments of partisan officials to key positions, or alterations to constitutional frameworks, all of which serve to erode the democratic fabric of a society. In addition to subtle manipulations, democratic erosion often involves overt attacks on democratic norms and principles. This pattern is characterized by direct assaults on civil liberties, press freedom, and the rule of law. Populist leaders and authoritarian regimes may target independent media outlets, suppress dissenting voices, or undermine the independence of the judiciary in order to consolidate power and suppress opposition (Mounk, 2018). These overt attacks on democratic norms serve to undermine public trust in democratic institutions and create a climate of fear and intimidation.

A recurring pattern in democratic erosion is the capture of state institutions by authoritarian-leaning leaders or political elites. This involves the co-optation of government agencies, law enforcement bodies, and regulatory authorities to serve the interests of those in power rather than the public good. This pattern is often facilitated by corruption, cronyism, and the erosion of accountability mechanisms, which allow political elites to wield unchecked authority and undermine democratic governance (Svolik, 2017). Another pattern of democratic erosion involves the erosion of electoral integrity and manipulation of electoral processes. This may include gerrymandering, voter suppression tactics, or outright electoral fraud designed to skew election outcomes in favor of incumbent or authoritarian-leaning leaders. By undermining the legitimacy of elections, these tactics erode public confidence in the democratic process and create barriers to political participation and representation. (Levitsky & Way, 2010)

5.6 What are the possible solutions for unraveling democratic erosion?

Democratic erosion poses a significant threat to the stability and functioning of societies worldwide. As democratic institutions weaken and norms deteriorate, it becomes imperative to explore effective solutions to address this phenomenon. This scholastic note examines several potential solutions for unraveling democratic erosion, considering various approaches and strategies. One key solution lies in enhancing the integrity and resilience of democratic institutions. This involves reinforcing the rule of law, safeguarding electoral processes, and promoting transparency and accountability within governmental bodies. By bolstering institutional integrity, societies can mitigate the vulnerabilities that often contribute to democratic erosion. (Diamond, 2015)

Another vital aspect of combating democratic erosion is the active participation of civil society. Civil society organizations play a crucial role in holding governments accountable, advocating for democratic principles, and mobilizing citizens to safeguard their rights. Strengthening civil society engagement can serve as a bulwark against the encroachment of authoritarian tendencies. Media freedom and the integrity of information are indispensable for the maintenance of democratic norms. Governments must refrain from censoring independent media outlets and instead support a diverse and pluralistic media landscape. Additionally, efforts to combat misinformation and disinformation are essential to ensure that citizens are well-informed and can make informed political choices (Gentzkow & Shapiro, 2019).

Civic education plays a crucial role in nurturing an informed and engaged citizenry. By promoting civic literacy, schools can empower individuals with the knowledge and skills necessary to actively participate in democratic processes. Investing in comprehensive civic education programs can help inoculate societies against the erosion of democratic values and norms. Moreover, addressing democratic erosion requires a multifaceted approach that encompasses institutional reforms, civil society empowerment, media freedom, and civic education. By implementing these solutions, societies can strengthen their democratic foundations and mitigate the risks posed by authoritarian tendencies. However, sustained commitment and collective action are necessary to effectively counter the

challenges of unraveling democratic erosion. (Hess & McAvoy, 2015).

6. Conclusion

In the tumultuous landscape of the past decade, the phenomenon of democratic erosion has emerged as a formidable challenge to the very fabric of our societies. From subtle corrosion to overt assaults on democratic institutions, the causes and patterns of this erosion are multifaceted and deeply concerning. Yet, amidst this complexity lies a glimmer of hope – a recognition that understanding the roots of democratic erosion is the first step towards effective remediation. As we reflect on the years between 2010 and 2020, it becomes clear that democratic erosion is not an isolated occurrence but rather a global trend with far-reaching consequences. Whether driven by political polarization, economic inequality, or the rise of populist leaders, the erosion of democratic norms and principles threatens to undermine the foundations of freedom, equality, and justice.

However, in acknowledging the challenges, we must also embrace the opportunities for change. From strengthening civic education and media literacy to promoting inclusive governance and electoral reform, there exist a multitude of remedies that can help fortify our democracies against erosion. Moreover, fostering international cooperation and solidarity in defense of democratic values can amplify our collective efforts to safeguard the integrity of democratic institutions worldwide. In the face of mounting threats, the task ahead is daunting, but not insurmountable. It demands unwavering commitment, bold action, and a steadfast belief in the resilience of democracy. As we embark on this journey, let us draw inspiration from the struggles and triumphs of those who came before us, and let us be guided by the conviction that democracy, with all its imperfections, remains our best hope for a brighter future. By unraveling the causes, understanding the patterns, and implementing effective remedies, we can rise to the challenge of democratic erosion and build a more just, inclusive, and resilient world for generations to come.

7. Findings

1. The weaponization of social media platforms for political manipulation, dissemination of propaganda, and amplification of divisive narratives has emerged as a potent tool in

undermining democratic processes and fostering societal polarization.

2. Across various democracies, there has been a notable decline in public trust in key democratic institutions such as governments, legislatures, and political parties, undermining their legitimacy and efficacy.

3. Findings reveal a weakening of checks and balances within democratic systems, with executive overreach, legislative capture, and judicial politicization undermining the ability of institutions to serve as effective safeguards against abuse of power.

4. The growing influence of authoritarian regimes on democratic processes, through tactics such as disinformation campaigns, cyber-attacks, and support for illiberal movements, poses a significant threat to democratic stability and integrity.

5. Rapid technological advancements, including artificial intelligence and surveillance technologies, present new challenges to democratic governance, raising concerns about privacy, accountability, and the concentration of power in the hands of tech corporations and state actors.

6. Instances of backsliding in countries that underwent democratic transitions during this period underscore the fragility of democratic institutions and the challenges of sustaining democratic gains over time.

7. The forces of globalization have both facilitated democratic progress and contributed to its erosion, with economic interdependence and transnational threats presenting new challenges to democratic governance.

8. Growing disillusionment and disengagement among younger generations have been identified as a concerning trend, with implications for the long-term sustainability of democratic governance and participation.

9. Research highlights the importance of international cooperation and solidarity in addressing democratic erosion, with coordinated efforts among nations crucial for defending democratic values and promoting accountability on a global scale.

10. Findings indicate a pattern of state institutions being captured or co-opted by powerful interests, undermining their ability to serve as checks and balances on executive power and contributing to democratic erosion.

11. Widespread corruption and cronyism have been identified as significant drivers of democratic erosion, eroding public trust in government institutions and fostering a sense of disillusionment among citizens.

12. The erosion of civil liberties, including freedom of speech, assembly, and the press, poses a significant threat to democratic values and principles, limiting the ability of citizens to hold their governments accountable.

13. Findings suggest that the erosion of minority rights, including those of ethnic, religious, and marginalized groups, contributes to democratic erosion by fostering social division and exclusion.

14. Findings highlight the erosion of the rule of law as a critical factor in democratic decline, with attacks on judicial independence and the selective application of laws undermining the foundations of democracy.

15. The proliferation of fragmented media landscapes and the spread of misinformation have played a significant role in undermining public trust in democratic institutions, fostering polarization and eroding civic engagement.

16. A decline in civic education and political literacy has been observed as a contributing factor to democratic erosion, with citizens lacking the knowledge and skills necessary to engage meaningfully in democratic processes.

17. Findings suggest that increasing political polarization within societies significantly contributes to democratic erosion, fostering an environment where compromise and cooperation become increasingly elusive.

18. The ascendance of populist leaders during this period has been identified as a prominent driver of democratic erosion, as their rhetoric often undermines democratic norms and institutions in pursuit of consolidating power.

19. Research indicates a strong correlation between economic inequality and democratic erosion, with widening disparities in wealth and opportunity breeding discontent and eroding trust in democratic processes.

20. Instances of electoral fraud, manipulation, and suppression have undermined the integrity of elections, eroding public trust in the democratic process and legitimacy of elected leaders.

8. Recommendations

1. Safeguard the independence and integrity of judicial institutions by upholding the rule of law, ensuring judicial appointments are free from political interference, and strengthening mechanisms for accountability within the legal system.
2. Implement robust anti-corruption measures, including transparency initiatives, whistleblower protection laws, and enforcement mechanisms to hold corrupt officials and entities accountable.
3. Reinforce institutional checks and balances to prevent executive overreach and ensure accountability across all branches of government, including the legislature and judiciary.
4. Promote inclusive governance by actively engaging marginalized communities, promoting diversity in decision-making processes, and ensuring equitable representation in political institutions.
5. Support civil society organizations, including human rights defenders, independent media outlets, and grassroots movements, to strengthen democratic participation, accountability, and advocacy efforts.
6. Foster international cooperation and solidarity among democracies to address transnational threats to democracy, including disinformation campaigns, cyber-attacks, and authoritarian influence.
7. Develop regulatory frameworks and technological solutions to address emerging challenges posed by advancements in digital technology, including data privacy, cyber-security, and the regulation of social media platforms.
8. Strengthen legal protections and institutional mechanisms to safeguard the rights of minority groups, including ethnic, religious, and marginalized communities, against discrimination and persecution.
9. Create opportunities for meaningful youth engagement in political processes, education, and decision-making to empower the next generation of democratic leaders and advocates.
10. Counter populist rhetoric and authoritarian narratives through public education, media campaigns, and political discourse that promote democratic values, pluralism, and tolerance.

11. Provide assistance and support to countries undergoing democratic transitions to consolidate democratic gains, build resilient institutions, and navigate challenges to democratic governance effectively.
12. Foster a culture of democracy that values democratic principles, norms, and practices, promoting a sense of civic duty, responsibility, and solidarity among citizens.
13. Implement comprehensive civic education programs from an early age to promote political literacy, critical thinking, and civic engagement among citizens.
14. Develop initiatives to enhance media literacy skills to help individuals critically evaluate information, identify misinformation, and navigate diverse media landscapes responsibly.
15. Introduce electoral reforms to enhance transparency, integrity, and inclusivity in the electoral process, including measures to combat voter suppression, gerrymandering, and electoral fraud.

References:

- Levitsky, S., & Ziblatt, D. (2018). *How Democracies Die*. Crown.
- Mounk, Y. (2018). *The People vs. Democracy: Why Our Freedom Is in Danger and How to Save It*. Harvard University Press.
- Sunstein, C.R. (2017). *#Republic: Divided Democracy in the Age of Social Media*. Princeton University Press.
- Bradshaw, S., & Howard, P.N. (2019). *The Global Disinformation Order: 2019 Global Inventory of Organised Social Media Manipulation*. Oxford Internet Institute.
- Diamond, L. (2019). *Ill Winds: Saving Democracy from Russian Rage, Chinese Ambition, and American Complacency*. Penguin Press.
- Keane, J. (2020). *The New Despotism*. Harvard University Press.
- Piketty, T. (2014). *Capital in the Twenty-First Century*. Harvard University Press.
- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty*. Crown Business.
- Kurlantzick, J. (2013). *Democracy in Retreat: The Revolt of the Middle Class and the Worldwide Decline of Representative Government*. Yale University Press.
- Levitsky, S., & Ziblatt, D. (2018). *How Democracies Die*. Crown.

- Sunstein, C. R. (2017). *#Republic: Divided Democracy in the Age of Social Media*. Princeton University Press.
- Mounk, Y. (2018). *The People vs. Democracy: Why Our Freedom Is in Danger and How to Save It*. Harvard University Press.
- Varol, O. O. (2015). Stealth authoritarianism. *Iowa Law Review*, 100(4), 1673-1742
- Diamond, L. (2019). *Ill Winds: Saving Democracy from Russian Rage, Chinese Ambition, and American Complacency*. Penguin Press.
- Keane, J. (2020). *The New Despotism*. Harvard University Press.
- Piketty, T. (2014). *Capital in the Twenty-First Century*. Harvard University Press.
- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty*. Crown Business.
- Piketty, T. (2014). *Capital in the Twenty-First Century*. Harvard University Press.
- Levitsky, S., & Ziblatt, D. (2018). *How Democracies Die*. Crown.
- Mounk, Y. (2018). *The People vs. Democracy: Why Our Freedom Is in Danger and How to Save It*. Harvard University Press.
- Tucker, J. A., Guess, A., Barberá, P., Vaccari, C., Siegel, A., Sanovich, S., Stukal, D., & Nyhan, B. (2018). Social media, political polarization, and political disinformation: A review of the scientific literature. *SSRN Electronic Journal*.
- O'Donnell, G. (2013). *Dissonances: Democratic Critiques of Democracy*. University of Notre Dame Press.
- Levitsky, S., & Ziblatt, D. (2018). *How Democracies Die*. Crown.
- Mounk, Y. (2018). *The People vs. Democracy: Why Our Freedom Is in Danger and How to Save It*. Harvard University Press.
- Levitsky, S., & Way, L. A. (2010). *Competitive Authoritarianism: Hybrid Regimes after the Cold War*. Cambridge University Press.
- Svolik, M. W. (2017). *The Politics of Authoritarian Rule*. Cambridge University Press.
- Diamond, L. (2015). Facing up to the democratic recession. *Journal of Democracy*, 26(1), 141-155.
- Gentzkow, M., & Shapiro, J. M. (2019). Media, misinformation, and democracy. *Science*, 363(6425), 356-359.
- Hess, D. E., & McAvoy, P. (2015). *The political classroom: Evidence and ethics in democratic education*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Levitsky, S., & Ziblatt, D. (2018). *How democracies die*. New York, NY: Crown.
- Norris, P. (2017). *Strengthening electoral integrity*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

