

## CRITICAL RACE THEORY: ILLUMINATING CONTEMPORARY ISSUES OF RACIAL INEQUALITY

Mehwish Shahid\*<sup>1</sup>, Naveed Ahmad Khan<sup>2</sup>, Amina Shehzadi<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Karthiyaini Devarajoo<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PHD scholar, English literature, Asia e university, Kaulalampur, Malaysia; <sup>2</sup>Principal Lecturer, Department of English, University of Central Punjab; <sup>3</sup>SSE (English), School Education Department Punjab; <sup>4</sup>Associate Professor, Head, Academic Development Unit (ADQA Department), Faculty of Business, Information & Human Sciences (FBIHS), Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur (IUKL), De Centrum City, Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia

\*<sup>1</sup>[mywish5384@gmail.com](mailto:mywish5384@gmail.com); <sup>2</sup>[naveed.ahmad01@ucp.edu.pk](mailto:naveed.ahmad01@ucp.edu.pk); <sup>3</sup>[aminamuneeb123@gmail.com](mailto:aminamuneeb123@gmail.com);  
<sup>4</sup><https://iukl.edu.my/>

### Corresponding Author: \*

Received: 20 October, 2023    Revised: 30 October, 2023    Accepted: 15 November    Published: 22 December, 2023

### ABSTRACT

This article examines Critical Race Theory (CRT), a valuable paradigm for understanding and combating racial inequality. We follow CRT's growth and response to civil rights approaches from its legal scholarship roots. Our focus is on key personalities and legal antecedents who helped it emerge and challenge existing legal paradigms. Examine CRT's key values, emphasizing structural racism, intersectionality, interest convergence, the voice of color, and liberalism critique. These concepts provide a framework for analyzing systemic racial inequality and societal structures and institutions. The researcher also examines CRT's current uses in law, education, healthcare, criminal justice, and social activism. CRT's impact on these issues shows its importance in racial equality. CRT challenges and criticisms include public discourse opposition, limitations, frequent misconceptions, and ongoing controversies. Despite these issues, CRT remains crucial to racial justice discussions. The researcher summarizes major findings, emphasizes CRT's lasting relevance, and highlights its contributions to social justice and change in the conclusion. We also suggest further study to improve its uses and understanding of racial inequality. This comprehensive Critical Race Theory assessment is useful for scholars, practitioners, policymakers, and those working to end social injustice and racial discrimination.

**Key Words:** Critical Race Theory, racial inequalities, systemic racism, intersectionality, social justice, racial disparities

### INTRODUCTION

Race and racism have long been debated socially and academically. Critical Race Theory effectively identifies, analyses, and overcomes racial inequality. CRT has long influenced racial and social justice discussions.

### History and Significance of Critical Race Theory

Critical Race Theory (CRT) emerged in the late 1970s and early 1980s in response to civil rights paradigm flaws (Crenshaw, 1991). It started in US legal scholarship and moved to education, sociology,

politics, and more. CRT opposes the assumption that racism is personality-driven discrimination. It says racism is in society's institutions. CRT says systemic and structural factors perpetuate racial inequality by favoring particular racial groups. This idea holds that colorblindness, meritocracy, and neutrality encourage racism. People can encounter racial, gender, class, and sexuality discrimination due to identity intersectionality.

### Objectives of the Study

The study has the following objectives:

1. To trace the historical origins of Critical Race Theory (CRT) and its development in response to civil rights methods.
2. To analyze the foundational principles of CRT and their impact on shaping the theory's perspective on racism and social inequality.
3. To examine the influence of Critical Race Theory on legal discourse, educational practices, healthcare policies, and social activism efforts.
4. To critically evaluate the limitations and criticisms of Critical Race Theory and explore how it addresses common misconceptions and opposition within academic and societal contexts.

### Research Questions

Following are the research questions of the study:

1. In response to civil rights methods What were the origins of Critical Race Theory in response to civil rights methods?
2. How do the fundamental principles of Critical Race Theory shape its perspective on racism and inequality?
3. How does Critical Race Theory influence the fields of social activism, education, law and healthcare?
4. How does Critical Race Theory address its faults and address them to collective fallacies and obstruction?

### Methodology

To examine Critical Race Theory (CRT) scholarly publications, books, articles, and reports on its background, theories, uses, and criticisms. Research involved these following steps:

**Literature Search:** We searched library catalogues, JSTOR, Google Scholar, ProQuest, and other valuable sources. "Critical Race Theory," "racial inequality," "systemic racism," "intersectionality," "CRT applications," and "CRT criticisms" were found.

**Selection Criteria:** For including related and high standard sources, authoritarian selection criteria were used. Some of the peer-reviewed journal articles. Books and trustworthy sources from the last two decades were assessed. Priority was given to the

sources the renowned CRT Methodical data extraction from appropriate sources. Results were grouped by study goals and questions.

**Evaluate and Consolidate:** Historical events, CRT principles, vast sectorial uses, and arguments were carefully examined in the data. Results were combined to explain CRT. Accurate APA citations maintained scholarly integrity. This study rigorously evaluated its sources for academic and ethical integrity. A theoretical framework: CRT Lens

This study examines modern society's complex and extensive racial inequality using Critical Race Theory (Crenshaw, 1991). The researcher wishes to critically explore how race affects law, policy, education, and activism utilizing CRT. CRT illuminates the systems and organizations that sustain racial injustice, highlighting social justice.

The next sections will explore CRT's origin, history, applications, ideas, and criticisms. This review targets to display CRT's incessant significance for studying and resolving racial inequality.

### The History of CRT

#### Rise of CRT in Legal Scholarship

Critical Race Theory (CRT) emerged from late 20th-century legal studies (Delgado & Stefancic, 2017). CRT was founded in the early 1970s to improve civil rights strategy (Butcher & Gonzales, 2020). Legal scholars recognized the need for a new model for measuring and combating US racial inequality. Pioneering researchers including Derrick Bell, Alan Freeman, and Richard Delgado shaped CRT (Butcher & Gonzales, 2020). CRT founder Derrick Bell advocated interest convergence, arguing that racial equality can only precede when it aligns with the interests of the dominant white group (Bell, 1992). These thinkers challenged legal philosophy and laid the groundwork for CRT's racial dynamics analysis.

#### Legal and Civil Rights Origins

Early legal initiatives set the groundwork for CRT. Critical Legal Studies (CLS) questioned American law's inherent fairness and justice (Martinez, 2014). CRT added racial inequities and structural racism. The 1960s Civil Rights Movement also paved the way for CRT by emphasizing the need

for more comprehensive and long-term solutions to racial inequality (Brown & Jackson, 2017).

### **Challenges Traditional Legal Paradigms with CRT**

CRT opposed legal frameworks that disregarded or fostered racial inequity (Delgado & Stefancic, 2017). It challenged the judicial system's neutrality and showed how it may benefit the dominant white populace. CRT's focus on race's intersectionality with class and gender led to a more nuanced understanding of racism in many social contexts (Crenshaw, 1991). Moreover, important legal scholars helped create Critical Race Theory in reaction to civil rights tactics' inadequacies. Based on past legal precedents and the Civil Rights Movement, it challenged legal paradigms by revealing systemic racism and emphasizing the interconnectedness of racial oppression with other types of discrimination.

### **Key Critical Race Theory Ideas**

#### **Understanding Systemic Racism: Inequality**

CRT describes structural racism as widespread (Delgado & Stefancic, 2017). CRT argues society's institutions cause racism, not individual prejudice. This perspective focuses studying racial inequality-sustaining policies, legislation, and social practices. To fix racism, CRT treats systems.

#### **Diversity of identities and experiences**

CRT learns identity and complexity through intersectionality (Crenshaw, 1991). It shows how race, gender, class, and sexuality affect people. Racial difference must be viewed in terms of how intersecting identities affect social rank, says CRT. Multi-marginalized people need help.

#### **The convergence of interest's Racial Progress self-interest**

Derrick Bell (1992) believes interest convergence links white self-interest to racial equality. Morality and ethics spur more than racial advancement, says this argument. The dominant group is more likely to embrace racial equality laws when they profit, argues CRT. Effective racial justice measures require this awareness.

#### **Spotlighting Minorities**

CRT seeks to hear underprivileged and voiceless people of color (Delgado & Stefancic, 2017). Listen to and prioritize racial inequality

victims' tales. It supports diverse decision-making and marginalized voices in racial justice talks.

#### **Criticizing Liberal Neutrality Assumptions**

Bell (1992) asserts that CRT opposes colorblindness and neutrality. It says colorblindness ignores systemic racism and reinforces racism. CRT says law and society sustain privilege and power. CRT criticizes liberalism to advocate a sophisticated and aggressive racial equality response.

#### **Ultimate Goal: Social Justice**

Delgado & Stefancic (2017) say CRT promotes social and racial equality. CRT supports policies that aggressively combat racial inequality and achieve social justice. Critical Race Theory (CRT) reveals racial inequity in several domains. Current CRT usage is assessed here.

#### **Legal Studies CRT: Legal Policy Analysis**

CRT continues to study legal legislation for racial implications, according Delgado & Stefancic (2017). Legal scholars and practitioners utilize CRT to uncover systemic racism, structural inequality, and hidden biases. To promote racial equity, the application reviewed laws, rulings, and practices.

#### **Addressing School Racial Disparities with CRT**

CRT has helped reduce educational gaps by race. CRT helps educators, academics, and policymakers evaluate education, curriculum, and school policies (Ladson-Billings, 2005). Stakeholders use CRT to detect and address educational disparities for students of color. This app promotes inclusive, culturally sensitive learning.

#### **Examining Racial Health Disparities with CRT**

Healthcare practitioners and researchers use CRT to study racial health inequalities (Bailey et al., 2017). This application investigates how systematic racism affects marginalized communities' healthcare access, quality, and outcomes. Social determinants of health and health equity are stressed in CRT in healthcare.

#### **Uncovering Legal System Racial Biases using CRT**

CRT has shown and addressed judicial system racial inequalities in criminal justice (Alexander, 2010). Scholars and activists use CRT to study racial profiling, mass imprisonment, and sentencing inequities. This application has raised concerns about criminal justice reform and racial discrimination.

### **How CRT Affects Modern Social Movements**

CRT has shaped social activity by helping people comprehend and fight racial inequalities (Crenshaw, 1991). CRT informs social justice strategies, highlights intersectionality of oppression, and amplifies marginalized voices. This application is crucial to Black Lives Matter, which uses CRT concepts to fight systematic racism.

### **Critiques of Critical Race Theory**

Despite its success in tackling racial inequality, Critical Race Theory (CRT) has been criticized. This section discusses CRT's drawbacks and disputes.

### **CRT opposition in public discourse**

Political and public discourse has focused on CRT in recent years, producing both strong support and opposition. Opponents say CRT produces "cancel culture," stifles free speech, and incites animosity (Chemerinsky, 2021). Some states have tried to restrict CRT-related schooling due to this opposition.

### **CRT criticisms and limitations**

CRT is criticized like any theoretical framework. CRT has been constructively critiqued by scholars for its limitations. Critics say CRT lacks a technique and focuses too much on narrative and storytelling (Bonilla-Silva, 2002). Others say CRT oversimplifies complex socioeconomic issues and may not solve racial inequities.

### **Addressing Common Misconceptions**

Common CRT myths are actively addressed by enthusiasts. They emphasize that CRT highlights structural and institutional inequities, not guilt or blame for systematic racism (Crenshaw, 1995). CRT proponents dispel the myth that it promotes the "reversal of racism," saying it seeks to destroy oppressive structures.

### **Debates and controversies**

CRT debates evolve. The role of CRT in education is debated. Teachers and policymakers struggle to teach CRT-related ideas in K-12 and higher education while addressing stakeholder concerns (Ladson-Billings, 2021). CRT also influences discussions regarding academic freedom, free speech, and universities' diversity efforts (Ladson-Billings, 2021). CRT's inclusion in racism and inequality education is highly debated.

### **Conclusion**

I examined Critical Race Theory (CRT)'s history, ideas, applications, and critics in this extensive study. As we close, we summarize major findings, discuss CRT's lasting significance, its contributions to social justice and transformation, and future study objectives.

### **Key Results Summary**

I found that CRT originated in legal scholarship and was shaped by prominent persons. In reaction to civil rights approaches, CRT challenged legal frameworks and promoted structural racism awareness. In order to analyze racial inequality, we examined structural racism, intersectionality, and the critique of liberalism. Modern applications in law, education, healthcare, criminal justice, and social activism demonstrate CRT's importance in tackling racial inequities.

### **The Persistence of CRT**

Today's society values CRT. It helps explain and address racial inequities in numerous industries. Its focus on systemic disparities and marginalized voices supports anti-discrimination and equity activities. CRT's influence on policy and legal reform and social movements demonstrate its importance.

### **Social Justice and Change Contributions**

CRT has advanced social justice and change. It has led to important discussions about race and inequality and policy changes to end discrimination. CRT has raised questions regarding curriculum and egalitarian pedagogy in education. It has illuminated racial health inequities in healthcare, spurring initiatives to improve access and outcomes. CRT has helped legal scholars, practitioners, and policymakers address racial biases.

### **Future Research**

Understanding and enhancing CRT requires further research. Scholars should examine how CRT may enhance racial disparities policy. CRT-based interventions can be evaluated in healthcare and education. Investigating race's intersectionality with gender and sexuality can show structural inequality. Finally, Critical Race Theory is needed for social justice and equality. Historical roots, essential ideas, and present applications demonstrate its relevance. CRT decreases racial inequality.



Reference

- Abdulla, M. R. (2018). Culture, Religion, and Freedom of Religion or Belief. Retrieved December 11, 2021, from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15570274.2018.1535033>
- Abbas, I., Ahmed, K., Habib, M. A. (2022). Conversation Analysis: A Methodology for Diagnosing Autism. *Global Language Review*, VII(II), 1-12.
- Addo, I. Y. (2019). Double pandemic: racial discrimination amid coronavirus disease 2019. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 4.
- Ahmed, K., Tariq, A., & Akram, A. (2020). Semiotic Analysis of the Objectification of Women in Selected Pakistani Advertisements. *Journal of Communication and Cultural Trends*, 2(1), 1-18.
- Ahmed, K., Zahra, F. T., Amin, T., & Khan, N. A. (2023). Voices from the world: An analysis of discourse fragments from world leaders on Covid-19. *Journal of Arts and Linguistics Studies*, 1(4), 783-820.
- Ahmed, K., Ali, I., Xianfa, H. (2015). Code-Mixing as a Marker of Gender Identity in SMS language in Pakistan. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 20(1), 58-65. ISSN 2279-0845.
- Ali, M., Yasmin, T., Ahmed, K. (2021). Using WhatsApp as MALL Tool to Enhance ESL Learners' Performance in Pakistan. *Elementary Education Online*, 20(5), 2480-2494.
- Amanat, A., Ahmed, K., Siddiq, S (2022). A Gender Based Study of Teaching BS (English). *Harf-O-Sukhan*, 6(1), 304-312.
- Anheier, H. K. (2020). Culture, Values, and Identities. Retrieved December 7, 2021, from <https://online.ucpress.edu/gp/article/1/1/11755/106232/Cultures-Values-and-Identities-What-Are-the-Issues>
- Arieh, A. B. (2019). The Challenges of Working in A Multicultural Society (And How to Overcome Them). Retrieved December 8, 2021, from <https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesnonprofitcouncil/2019/01/02/the-challenges-of-working-in-a-multicultural-society-and-how-to-overcome-them/?sh=308152a94fa1>
- Bhaiwala, Z., Hamidi, N., & Bizenjo, S. (2020). Black Lives Matter – for Pakistan's Sheedi community too. Retrieved December 10, 2021, from <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/08/black-lives-matter-for-pakistans-sheedi-community-too/>
- Bhaumik, S. (2021). Tripura: Anti-Muslim violence flares up in Indian state. Retrieved December 10, 2021, from <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-india-59047517>
- Butt, B., Ahmed, K., Ali, H. (2022). Operationalizing the Syntactic Complexity: A Corpus Based Evaluation of Online Newspaper Editorials. *Hayatian Journal of Linguistics and Literature*, 6(1) 69-86.
- Butt, B., Ahmed, K., Naila Naz. (2020). Power and Politeness: A Study of Female Faculty Interaction in College Result Meeting. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology*, 18(1), 970-983.
- Cabral, R. P. (2021). Debunking Dehumanisation in the Works of Toni Morrison. *International Journal of Latest Research in Humanities and Social Science (IJLRHSS)*, 4.
- Crenshaw, K.W. (1991). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241-1299.
- Cross, W. E. (1998). Black psychological functioning and the legacy of slavery. In *International handbook of multigenerational legacies of trauma* (pp. 387-400). Springer, Boston, MA.
- Ellemers, N. (2020). social identity theory. Retrieved December 8, 2021, from <https://www.britannica.com/topic/social-identity-theory>
- Farooq, M., Ahmed, K. (2021). Introducing Self-Assessment for Evaluating Learners in Pakistan. *Global Social Sciences Review* V(IV), 120-136.
- Fayzullaeva, U. (2021). The evolution of female writers in the 20th century: from the late 19th century towards the 21st century. *Mental*

- Enlightenment Scientific-Methodological Journal, 10.
- Gillborn, D. (2015). Intersectionality, critical race theory, and the primacy of racism: Race, class, gender, and disability in education. *Qualitative inquiry*, 21(3), 277-287.
- Hannah-Jones, N. (2019). Our democracy's founding ideals were false when they were written. Black Americans have fought to make them true. *New York Times Magazine*, 14.
- HIJAZI, A. T. (2015). Bilal ibn Rabah: The symbol of human equality. Retrieved November 19, 2021, from <https://www.arabnews.com/islam-perspective/news/689996>
- Jing, W. (2020). Toni Morrison: Strong Voice for African-Americans. *Sino-US English Teaching*, 6.
- Jean Stefancic Delgado. (2017). *Critical Race Theory*. New York: New York University Press.
- Khan, F. (2020). Pakistan events. Retrieved December 1, 2021, from <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2021/country-chapters/pakistan>
- Khanum, D. M., & Erum, D. R. (2021). SOCIO-POLITICAL CHALLENGES OF 21ST CENTURY AND CULTURAL BARRIERS OF PAKISTANI SOCIETY. *Pak. Journal of Int'L Affairs*, 14.
- Khaleel, B., Ahmed, K., Shah, S. S. A. (2023). Critical Discourse Analysis of Pakistani Inheritance Law and Justice System for Women. *Pakistan Social Sciences Review (PSSR)*, 7(3) 87-100.
- Ranjha, I., M. Jahan, K. Ahmed, K. (2020). Stylistic analysis of Surah Al-Nass. *Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review*, 4(2) 1-11.
- Ranjha, I. M., Ahmed, K. Gohar, S. Sarfraz, S. (2021). Challenging the Myth: A Transitivity Analysis of Manto's The Hundred-Watt Bulb. *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry* 12(7) 5328-5336.
- Robina Shaukat, R., Ahmed, K., Waseem, F. (2023). Visual and Emotional Approach in Social Medi COVID-19 Cancer Posts. *International Journal of Contemporary Issues in Social Sciences*, 2(3), 401-412.
- Taskeen, S., & Ahmed, K. (2023). The Relationship between Personality Traits and Academic Achievements of Pakistani ESLs: Factors, Implications, and Future Directions. *Journal of Social Sciences Advancement*, 4(2), 37-44.
- Tariq, A., Ahmed, K., Asad, M. (2021). A Comparative Study of Literary Translations of The Old Man and the Sea. *International Bulletin of Literature and Linguistics* 3(4), 46-56.
- Zahra, F. T., Khan, A., Ahmed, K., Imtiaz, F. (2023). Teaching Spoken English in Pakistan: A Overview of Research Findings. *International Journal of Contemporary Issues in Social Sciences*, 2(4) 248-256.