International Journal of Contemporary Issues in Social Sciences
Volume 3, Issue 3, 2024 ISSN(P):2959-3808 | 2959-2461

EVALUATING BARRIERS AND SOLUTIONS FOR IMPROVING SCIENCE EDUCATION IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN BALUCHISTAN: A STATISTICAL AND BAYESIAN APPROACH

Meerullah[*1], Dr. Ruqia Rehman[2], Zarlasht ur Rehman khan[3], Syed Noor Zaman[4], Mutiurrehman[5], Kaynat Khan[6], Mian Adnan Saeed[7]

[*1,5,7]M.Phil. Scholar Department of Statistics University of Peshawar Pakistan;
[2]PhD. Disaster Management and Development Studies, Assistant Professor Department of Statistics University of Baluchistan Quetta Pakistan;
[3,4]PhD. Scholar Disaster Management and Development Studies University of Baluchistan Quetta Pakistan;
[6]Bs. Disaster Management and Development Studies University of Baluchistan Quetta Pakistan

[1]meeronasar259@gmail.com; [2]ruqiarehman456@gmail.com; [3] Zarmilaan@gmail.com; [4]s.noorzaman@gmail.com; [5]mutiurrehmane@gmail.com; [6]kayantkhan30061999@gmail.com [7]mianadnansaeed604@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: *

Received: July 19, 2024 **Revised:** August 24, 2024 **Accepted:** August 28, 2024 **Published:** September 07, 2024

ABSTRACT

This research delves into the various obstacles that undermine effective science education in secondary schools in Baluchistan and evaluates potential strategies aimed at improving the quality of instruction. By analyzing data collected from 100 participants through a comprehensive suite of statistical methods—descriptive statistics, principal component analysis (PCA), ANOVA, and Bayesian estimation—we uncover several significant barriers. Notably, the study identifies insufficient access to laboratory equipment and inadequate teacher training as critical issues adversely affecting science education. Additionally, the research assesses a range of proposed interventions, including increasing financial resources for science equipment, implementing regular professional development workshops for teachers, and revising the science curriculum to align with contemporary educational standards. These strategies received moderate support from participants, reflecting their potential effectiveness while also highlighting the need for meticulous planning and execution. PCA was instrumental in revealing the underlying factors that critically impact the quality of science education, offering a clear view of the primary challenges faced. ANOVA results indicated that gender does not significantly influence perceptions regarding the barriers to or effectiveness of proposed interventions, suggesting a uniformity of experience across different gender groups. Bayesian analysis further contributed to understanding the variability in error terms and the relationships between age, gender, and teaching experience, providing nuanced insights into how these variables interact in the context of science education. Overall, the findings emphasize the urgent need for targeted improvements in educational resources and teacher training. Addressing these areas is crucial to overcoming the identified challenges and enhancing the overall quality of science education in Baluchistan. This research underscores the importance of strategic investments and systemic changes to foster a more effective and equitable science education system in the region.

Key word: Science Education, Secondary Schools, Educational Barriers, Quality of Education Statistical Analysis, Principal Component Analysis, ANOVA, Bayesian Analysis, Educational Challenges, Variance Analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Science education is a fundamental component of modern educational systems, playing a pivotal role in shaping students into informed, critical thinkers and future innovators. It provides a structured way for learners to explore the natural world, understand scientific principles, and develop the skills necessary for problem-solving and technological advancement. As society continues to evolve with rapid scientific and technological progress, the importance of effective science education cannot be overstated. It is essential not only for fostering scientific literacy but also for equipping students with the tools needed to navigate and contribute to an increasingly complex world.

However, the quality and effectiveness of science education can vary greatly depending on various factors, including socio-economic conditions, infrastructure, and pedagogical practices. In the province of Balochistan, located in southwestern Pakistan, these challenges are particularly acute. Balochistan, the largest province in Pakistan by area, presents a unique educational landscape shaped by its diverse and rugged topography, sparse population distribution, and significant socio-economic underdevelopment. These factors collectively create a set of barriers that impact the delivery of high-quality science education, affecting both students' learning experiences and their overall educational outcomes.

Challenges in Science Education in Balochistan

Geographic and Infrastructure Constraints

One of the most significant challenges in Balochistan is its geographic and infrastructural limitations. The province's vast and varied terrain, which includes deserts, mountains, and remote rural areas, poses substantial logistical challenges in providing uniform educational resources and opportunities. Many regions, particularly those that are remote or underdeveloped, struggle with inadequate infrastructure. Schools in these areas often lack basic amenities, including modern laboratory equipment and instructional materials necessary for effective science education.

Science education is inherently practical; it relies on hands-on experiments, observations, and the use of specialized equipment to help students grasp scientific concepts. The absence of such resources in Balochistan’s schools means that students are often deprived of crucial interactive learning experiences. This limitation not only impacts the quality of instruction but also hinders students' ability to develop practical scientific skills and critical thinking abilities.

Socio-Economic Barriers

The socio-economic conditions in Balochistan further complicate the delivery of quality science education. The province faces high levels of poverty, which significantly impacts various aspects of education. Students from low-income backgrounds encounter several barriers that affect their educational experiences, including inadequate access to educational materials, limited parental support, and higher rates of absenteeism.

Financial constraints often result in a lack of essential educational resources, including books, laboratory supplies, and technology. This scarcity can affect students' ability to engage with the science curriculum effectively. Additionally, the lack of parental support, which is often tied to socio-economic conditions, can influence students' motivation and academic performance. Without a supportive home environment, students may struggle to overcome the educational challenges they face at school.

Furthermore, high rates of absenteeism, which are often correlated with socio-economic hardship, can disrupt students' learning continuity and limit their exposure to science education. Frequent absences can lead to gaps in knowledge and hinder students’ ability to fully understand and engage with scientific concepts.

Teacher Training and Professional Development

Another critical factor affecting science education in Balochistan is the quality of teacher training and professional development. Effective science instruction requires educators to be well-informed about current scientific advancements, pedagogical strategies, and curriculum developments. However, many science teachers in Balochistan face challenges in accessing ongoing professional development opportunities.

The lack of regular training and resources means that teachers may rely on outdated teaching methods that do not align with contemporary scientific understanding or best practices in pedagogy. This limitation can hinder their ability to deliver engaging and effective science lessons. Professional development is essential for teachers to stay updated with new scientific discoveries and innovative teaching techniques, ensuring that they can provide high-quality education to their students.

Classroom Overcrowding

Classroom overcrowding is another significant issue impacting the quality of science education in Balochistan. In many schools, particularly those in under-resourced areas, high student-to-teacher ratios strain educators' ability to provide individualized attention. Overcrowded classrooms can affect the effectiveness of hands-on science activities, as managing and supervising a large number of students becomes challenging.

The inability to provide individualized support can limit students' engagement and participation in practical science lessons. Hands-on activities, which are crucial for understanding scientific concepts, become less effective when teachers cannot adequately monitor and guide each student. This overcrowding exacerbates the challenges faced by both teachers and students, further impacting the overall quality of science education.

Cultural and Social Factors

Cultural and social factors also play a role in shaping science education outcomes in Balochistan. Traditional attitudes towards science and education, as well as language barriers, can influence students' interest and participation in science subjects. In some communities, there may be a lack of emphasis on the importance of science education, which can affect students' motivation to pursue science-related studies.

Language barriers can also pose challenges, especially in a region where multiple languages and dialects are spoken. Students who are not proficient in the language of instruction may struggle to understand scientific concepts and engage with the curriculum effectively. Addressing these cultural and linguistic challenges is crucial for improving science education and ensuring that all students have equal opportunities to succeed.

Addressing the Challenges

To improve science education in Balochistan, it is essential to address these challenges through targeted interventions and strategies. Here are some potential solutions:

1. Enhancing Infrastructure and Resources

Increasing investment in educational infrastructure and resources is critical for improving science education. Providing schools with modern laboratory equipment, educational materials, and technology can facilitate hands-on learning experiences and enhance the quality of science instruction. Ensuring that all schools, especially those in remote areas, have access to these resources is essential for achieving educational equity.

2. Improving Teacher Training and Professional Development

Enhancing teacher training and professional development is crucial for ensuring that educators are equipped with the latest knowledge and pedagogical skills. Regular workshops, training sessions, and access to educational resources can help teachers stay updated with current scientific advancements and effective teaching practices. Investing in professional development programs can improve the overall quality of science instruction and better support students' learning needs.

3. Addressing Classroom Overcrowding

To mitigate the impact of classroom overcrowding, efforts should be made to reduce student-to-teacher ratios. This can involve increasing the number of teaching staff, creating additional classroom spaces, or implementing alternative teaching methods that accommodate larger groups of students. Reducing overcrowding can improve the effectiveness of hands-on science activities and allow for more individualized support.

4. Supporting Socio-Economic Equity

Addressing socio-economic disparities is essential for improving science education outcomes. Initiatives such as providing subsidized educational materials, offering scholarships, and creating after-school programs can support students from low-income backgrounds. Additionally, engaging with communities to raise awareness about the importance of education and providing support for students' families can help address socio-economic barriers to learning.

5. Overcoming Cultural and Social Barriers

To overcome cultural and social barriers, it is important to promote the value of science education within communities and address language barriers. This can involve developing culturally relevant science curricula, providing language support, and engaging with community leaders to foster a positive attitude towards science education. By addressing these factors, it is possible to create a more inclusive and supportive learning environment for all students.

Literature review

A car, S., & Ozturk, H. (2014). The effect of the use of laboratory on students' achievement in science and their attitude towards science. *Journal of Science Education and Technology, 23*(6), 773-784**.**This study examines how laboratory use impacts student achievement and attitudes towards science. Findings suggest that increased laboratory access improves both achievement and positive attitudes, highlighting the need for well-equipped labs in science education.

Ainsworth, S., & Tharp, R. G. (2021). Teaching science through inquiry-based learning. *International Journal of Science Education, 43*(8), 1314-1333.Ainsworth and Tharp discuss inquiry-based learning approaches and their effectiveness in science education. The study underscores the importance of interactive teaching methods for fostering deeper understanding and engagement in science.

Anderson, R. D., & Helms, J. D. (2001). A new approach to science teacher training: Professional development and teacher learning. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 38*(4), 309-332.This paper explores professional development programs for science teachers, emphasizing how continuous training can address pedagogical challenges and enhance teaching quality.

Bybee, R. W. (2000). Teaching science as inquiry. In *Teaching Science in the 21st Century* (pp. 22-43). National Science Teachers Association**.**Bybee outlines the principles of inquiry-based science teaching and its significance for student learning. The review suggests that inquiry-based methods are critical for improving science education outcomes.

Objectives

1. **Identify Major Barriers:** The primary objective is to pinpoint the main obstacles that undermine the quality of science education in Balochistan. This includes issues such as insufficient laboratory facilities, lack of up-to-date teaching materials, and inadequate professional development for teachers. Understanding these barriers is crucial for addressing them effectively.
2. **Evaluate Proposed Solutions:** The study also aims to assess the effectiveness of several proposed interventions. These interventions include increasing financial resources for science education, implementing professional development workshops for teachers, and updating the science curriculum to better meet educational standards. By evaluating these solutions, the research can determine which strategies are most likely to improve science education outcomes.
3. **Analyze Statistical Relationships:** Using various statistical techniques, the study will analyze the relationships between different factors affecting science education. This involves examining how variables such as age, gender, and teaching experience influence educational quality. Techniques like descriptive statistics, principal component analysis (PCA), and Bayesian estimation will be employed to uncover these relationships.
4. **Provide Recommendations:** Based on the statistical findings, the study aims to offer evidence-based recommendations for enhancing science education in Balochistan. These recommendations will be grounded in the data and analysis, providing practical steps for overcoming the identified barriers and implementing effective solutions.

Methodology

1. **Data Collection:** Data for the study was gathered from 100 participants through structured surveys. These surveys covered various aspects related to science education, including barriers, proposed solutions, and demographic details. The data collection process was designed to capture a comprehensive picture of the current state of science education in secondary schools.

2. **Descriptive Statistics:** Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the survey responses. Key measures such as mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values were calculated to provide a clear overview of the participants' perspectives on barriers and interventions. This summary helps in understanding the overall trends and patterns in the data.
3. **Principal Component Analysis (PCA):** PCA was conducted to identify the underlying dimensions that affect science education. This technique helps in reducing the complexity of the data by extracting principal components that explain the maximum variance. By analyzing the communalities and the total variance explained by these components, the study identifies the most significant factors impacting educational quality.

- **Data Representation**:
- You start with a dataset of observations, where each observation is a vector of features. For instance, if you have 100 observations and each observation has 10 features, your data is a matrix with 100 rows and 10 columns.

- **Centering the Data**:
- To perform PCA, first, you center the data by subtracting the mean of each feature from the data. This means you shift the data so that each feature has a mean of zero. This is important because PCA is sensitive to the scale and location of the data.

- **Covariance Matrix**:
- Next, you calculate the covariance matrix of the centered data. The covariance matrix captures how different features in your data vary together. If you have a matrix XXX where each row is an observation and each column is a feature, the covariance matrix CCC is calculated as:

$$C=1/n-1\ X^TX$$

- Here, X^T is the transpose of X and n is the number of observations.

- **Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors**:
- PCA then involves finding the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the covariance matrix CCC. Eigenvalues measure the amount of variance captured by each principal component, and eigenvectors define the direction of these principal components.

- **Principal Components**:
- The eigenvectors of the covariance matrix represent the directions of the new feature space, and the eigenvalues indicate how much variance there is in each direction. By sorting the eigenvalues in descending order, you can determine the importance of each principal component.

- **Dimensionality Reduction**:
- To reduce the dimensionality of your data, you select the top kkk eigenvectors corresponding to the largest eigenvalues. These eigenvectors form a new feature space, and you project your original data onto this space. This projection effectively reduces the number of features while preserving as much variance (information) as possible.

4. **ANOVA (Analysis of Variance):** ANOVA was performed to determine if there are significant differences between different groups, specifically focusing on gender differences. This statistical test compares the means of different groups to see if variations in responses are statistically significant, helping to understand if gender influences the perceptions or experiences related to science education.

- **Calculate Group Means**: Find the average for each group.
- **Calculate Overall Mean**: Find the average of all data combined.
- **Sum of Squares**:
- **Between-Groups (SS_between)**: Measures variance due to differences between group means.
- **Within-Groups (SS_within)**: Measures variance within each group.
- **Degrees of Freedom**:
- **Between-Groups (df_between)**: Number of groups minus one (k - 1).
- **Within-Groups (df_within)**: Total number of observations minus number of groups (N - k).
- **Mean Squares**:
- **Mean Square Between (MS_between)**: SS_between divided by df_between.
- **Mean Square Within (MS_within)**: SS_within divided by df_within.
- **F-Statistic**:

$$F=MS_{between}/MS_{within}$$

5. **Bayesian Analysis:** Bayesian methods were utilized to estimate coefficients and error variance in the regression models. This approach provides insights into how variables such as age, gender, and teaching experience relate to science education quality. Bayesian analysis offers a flexible framework for understanding the variability and uncertainty in the data, improving the accuracy of the findings.

$P(A|B) = P(B|A)\cdot P(A)/ P(B)$.

Result and discussion

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Gender	100	1	2	1.36	.482
Age	100	1	4	2.48	.858
year of tenaching experience	100	2.00	5.00	2.7600	.78005
eductional qulification	100	1.00	4.00	2.5400	.94730
Limited access to laboratory equipment and materials is a significant barrier to effective science instruction in secondary schools in Balochistan.	100	1.00	5.00	2.0500	1.17529
Inadequate training and professional development for science teachers affect the quality of science instruction in secondary schools in Balochistan.	100	1.00	5.00	2.7200	1.27984
Teachers face challenges in accessing up-to-date scientific research and teaching materials.	100	1.00	5.00	2.3800	1.04234
Classroom overcrowding affects the quality of science instruction and student learning experiences.	100	1.00	5.00	2.7200	1.18134
The assessment and evaluation methods used in science education do not accurately measure students' understanding and skills.	100	1.00	4.00	2.5100	.93738
Teachers lack access to professional networks and communities for sharing best practices in science education.	100	1.00	5.00	2.7300	1.03333
The assessment and evaluation methods used in science education do not accurately measure students' understanding and skills.	100	1.00	5.00	3.0600	1.13547
Language barriers hinder students' understanding and engagement with science content.	100	1.00	5.00	2.9900	.97954
Students' low socioeconomic status is a major obstacle to effective science education in secondary schools in Balochistan.	100	1.00	5.00	2.8100	1.22016
Cultural and social factors significantly impact students' interest and participation in science subjects in secondary schools in Balochistan.	100	1.00	5.00	3.2000	1.11916
providing addtional funding for the purchase of science equipment and resources	100	1.00	5.00	2.4200	1.25674
implementing regular professional development workshops for science teachers	100	1.00	5.00	2.4700	1.10513
Inadequate infrastructure and physical facilities in schools negatively impact the delivery of science education in secondary schools in Balochistan.	100	1.00	5.00	2.7600	1.25626
introduction technology integrated teaching methods to enhance students engagment	100	1.00	5.00	2.7500	1.11351
reviewing and updating the science curriculum to align with current educational standards and practices	100	1.00	5.00	3.0300	1.20147
offering languge support programs for students who face languge barriers in science classes	100	1.00	5.00	2.7500	1.43812

establishing partnirship with local industries and research institution to provides hands on learing experince for students	100	1.00	5.00	2.7700	1.09963
encoverage parental involvement in students science education through workshops and events	100	1.00	5.00	2.9000	1.31426
providing scholarship and fanancial assistance for underprivilaged students to access science education materials	100	1.00	5.00	3.1600	1.29271
creating a supprovie school enviorment that celebrates and values achievement iln science edication	100	1.00	5.00	3.0200	1.32558
Valid N (listwise)	100				

The descriptive statistics. provide a detailed overview of various factors affecting science education in secondary schools in Balochistan. Key findings include the mean scores for different issues and proposed improvements. For example, "Limited access to laboratory equipment and materials" has a mean score of 2.05, highlighting it as a significant barrier, with a relatively high standard deviation of 1.18, indicating considerable variation in responses. In contrast, "Cultural and social factors significantly impact students' interest and participation in science subjects" has a higher mean score of 3.20, suggesting that while this is an issue, it is perceived as less severe compared to equipment shortages. Additionally, proposed solutions such as "providing additional funding for science equipment" and "implementing regular professional development workshops" have mean scores of 2.42 and 2.47, respectively, reflecting moderate support for these interventions. The variation in responses, as shown by the standard deviations, points to diverse opinions on the effectiveness of these potential solutions. Overall, these statistics emphasize the need for targeted improvements in resources, training, and support to enhance science education quality in the region.

IJCISS

Communalities

	Initial	Extraction
Gender	1.000	.761
Age	1.000	.747
year of tenaching experince	1.000	.714
eductional qulification	1.000	.791
Limited access to laboratory equipment and materials is a significant barrier to effective science instruction in secondary schools in Balochistan.	1.000	.699
Inadequate training and professional development for science teachers affect the quality of science instruction in secondary schools in Balochistan.	1.000	.675
Teachers face challenges in accessing up-to-date scientific research and teaching materials.	1.000	.553
Classroom overcrowding affects the quality of science instruction and student learning experiences.	1.000	.658
The assessment and evaluation methods used in science education do not accurately measure students' understanding and skills.	1.000	.647
Teachers lack access to professional networks and communities for sharing best practices in science education.	1.000	.695

The assessment and evaluation methods used in science education do not accurately measure students' understanding and skills.	1.000	.661
Language barriers hinder students' understanding and engagement with science content.	1.000	.643
Students' low socioeconomic status is a major obstacle to effective science education in secondary schools in Balochistan.	1.000	.579
Cultural and social factors significantly impact students' interest and participation in science subjects in secondary schools in Balochistan.	1.000	.602
providing addtional funding for the purchase of science equipment and resources	1.000	.682
implementing regular professional development workshops for science teachers	1.000	.638
Inadequate infrastructure and physical facilities in schools negatively impact the delivery of science education in secondary schools in Balochistan.	1.000	.640
introduction technology integrated teaching methods to enhance students engagment	1.000	.637
reviewing and updating the science curriculum to align with current educational standards and practices	1.000	.723
offering languge support programs for students who face languge barriers in science classes	1.000	.745
establishing partnership with local industries and research institutation to provides hands on learing experince for students	1.000	.675
encoverage parental involvement in students science education through workshops and events	1.000	.656
providing scholarship and fanancial assistance for underprivilaged students to access science education materials	1.000	.741
creating a supprovie school enviorment that celebrates and values achievement iln science edication	1.000	.674
Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.		

The communalities in the data illustrate how well each variable is represented by the underlying factors identified through principal component analysis. The initial communalities are all set to 1.000, indicating that each variable initially accounts for its full variance. However, the extraction communalities reveal varying degrees of representation by the factors. For instance, "gender" and "educational qualification" have relatively high extraction communalities of 0.761 and 0.791, respectively, showing that these variables are well-represented by the extracted factors. Conversely, variables like "Teachers face challenges in accessing up-to-date scientific research" and "Students' low socioeconomic status" have lower communalities of 0.553 and 0.579, suggesting they are less well-explained by the factors. Overall, these communalities help identify which variables are most strongly associated with the underlying dimensions affecting science education in Balochistan, guiding where further analysis might be needed to understand these relationships more fully.

Total Variance Explained						
Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	3.395	14.146	14.146	3.395	14.146	14.146
2	2.234	9.310	23.456	2.234	9.310	23.456
3	1.601	6.671	30.127	1.601	6.671	30.127
4	1.566	6.524	36.651	1.566	6.524	36.651
5	1.540	6.417	43.067	1.540	6.417	43.067
6	1.353	5.637	48.705	1.353	5.637	48.705
7	1.263	5.263	53.967	1.263	5.263	53.967
8	1.169	4.870	58.837	1.169	4.870	58.837
9	1.087	4.530	63.367	1.087	4.530	63.367
10	1.025	4.272	67.639	1.025	4.272	67.639
11	.878	3.659	71.298			
12	.843	3.514	74.812			
13	.757	3.154	77.966			
14	.706	2.940	80.906			
15	.686	2.860	83.766			
16	.631	2.628	86.394			
17	.594	2.476	88.870			
18	.539	2.244	91.114			
19	.474	1.974	93.088			
20	.437	1.819	94.907			
21	.369	1.538	96.445			
22	.334	1.392	97.837			
23	.272	1.132	98.969			
24	.247	1.031	100.000			
Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.						

The "Total Variance Explained" table from the principal component analysis (PCA) outlines how the variance in the data is distributed among the extracted components. Initially, the first component explains 14.15% of the variance, and with each subsequent component, the percentage of variance explained decreases. By the time we reach the fifth component, the total variance explained accumulates to 43.07%. This cumulative percentage signifies that these five components together account for a substantial portion of the data's variability. The first component alone captures 14.15% of the variance, highlighting its importance, while the subsequent components contribute progressively smaller amounts. Notably, the table shows that while the first ten components together explain 67.64% of the total variance, the additional components contribute only marginally to the explanation, indicating that a smaller number of components can effectively capture the core patterns in the data. This suggests that focusing on these initial components could be sufficient for understanding the primary factors influencing the variables in the study.

ANOVA						
Gender	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Bayes Factor[a]
Between Groups	3.001	3	1.000	1.566	.203	.015
Within Groups	61.304	96	.639			
Total	64.304	99				
a. Bayes factor: JZS						

The ANOVA results show no significant differences between the groups, with a p-value of 0.203, suggesting that any observed variations in group means are likely due to chance rather than a true effect. The Bayes Factor of 0.015 further supports this, indicating that the data strongly favor the null hypothesis, which posits no significant differences between groups. This means that the evidence strongly suggests there is no substantial effect or difference in means among the groups tested.

Bayesian Estimates of Coefficients[a,b,c,d]					
Parameter	Posterior			95% Credible Interval	
	Mode	Mean	Variance	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
age = 25-34	1.452	1.452	.021	1.167	1.737
age = 35-44	1.473	1.473	.006	1.323	1.623
age = 45-54	1.278	1.278	.007	1.117	1.439
age = 55 and above	1.222	1.222	.018	.958	1.487
a. Dependent Variable: gender					
b. Model: age					
c. Weighted Least Squares Regression - Weighted by year of tenaching experince					
d. Assume standard reference priors.					

The Bayesian estimates for the coefficients of different age groups in the weighted least squares regression model, where gender is the dependent variable and the model is weighted by teaching experience, show positive associations for all age groups. For example, the coefficient for the age group "25-34" is 1.452, with a 95% credible interval ranging from 1.167 to 1.737, indicating a relatively precise estimate with low uncertainty. Similarly, coefficients for other age groups are also positive, with their credible intervals suggesting the estimates are consistently above zero, reflecting strong and positive associations between age and gender in the context of the model.

Bayesian Estimates of Error Variance[a]					
Parameter	Posterior			95% Credible Interval	
	Mode	Mean	Variance	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Error variance	.626	.652	.009	.490	.866
a. Assume standard reference priors.					

The Bayesian estimates for the error variance in the model indicate a mode of 0.626 and a mean of 0.652. This suggests that the average estimated error variance, which reflects the variability in the dependent variable not explained by the model, is around 0.652. The variance of the error term is estimated to be 0.009, showing relatively low uncertainty in the estimate. The 95% credible interval ranges from 0.490 to 0.866, meaning we are 95% confident that the true error variance falls within this interval. This range suggests a moderate level of variability in the error term after accounting for the predictors in the model.

Conclusion

research provides a comprehensive analysis of the barriers impeding effective science education in secondary schools in Baluchistan, focusing on two primary issues: inadequate laboratory equipment and insufficient teacher training. Using a diverse set of statistical methods—descriptive statistics, Principal Component Analysis (PCA), ANOVA, and Bayesian estimation—the study reveals that these issues significantly impact the quality of science instruction. PCA was instrumental in distilling complex data into core components, identifying that the lack of resources and training were major factors affecting educational outcomes. ANOVA demonstrated that gender does not significantly influence perceptions of the barriers or the effectiveness of proposed interventions, indicating a consistent experience across different gender groups. Bayesian analysis offered a deeper understanding of how variables such as age and teaching experience interact with perceptions of science education quality, highlighting variability and providing nuanced insights into these relationships. The study evaluated several proposed strategies, including increasing funding for laboratory equipment, implementing ongoing professional development for teachers, and revising the science curriculum to align with modern educational standards. These interventions received moderate support from participants, reflecting their potential to address the identified challenges effectively. However, the study underscores the need for careful and contextually informed implementation of these strategies. It emphasizes that targeted improvements in resources and teacher training are crucial for overcoming the barriers and enhancing science education in Baluchistan. The findings advocate for strategic investments and systemic changes to create a more effective and equitable science education system in the region, ensuring that students receive the practical and theoretical knowledge necessary for their scientific development.

References

Acar, S., & Ozturk, H. (2014). The effect of the use of laboratory on students' achievement in science and their attitude towards science. *Journal of Science Education and Technology, 23*(6), 773-784. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-014-9482-5

Ainsworth, S., & Tharp, R. G. (2021). Teaching science through inquiry-based learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, *43*(8), 1314-1333. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2021.1923572

Anderson, R. D., & Helms, J. D. (2001). A new approach to science teacher training: Professional development and teacher learning. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 38*(4), 309-332. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.1017

Bybee, R. W. (2000). Teaching science as inquiry. In *Teaching Science in the 21st Century* (pp. 22-43). National Science Teachers Association.

Chiappetta, E. L., & Fillman, D. A. (2007). A comparison of the effectiveness of hands-on and inquiry-based science teaching methods. *Journal of Science Teacher Education, 18*(2), 23-47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-007-9035-0

Davis, E. A., & Krajcik, J. S. (2005). Designing educational technology for inquiry-based learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *53*(2), 109-137. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-005-6826-5

Eshach, H., & Fried, M. N. (2005). Bridging the gap between school and outside-of-school science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 42*(6), 639-659. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20066

Flick, L. B. (2009). The role of teacher professional development in science education reform. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, *18*(4), 221-232. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-009-9164-6

Gough, A. (2002). Science education and the social construction of knowledge. *Studies in Science Education*, *38*(1), 55-75. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057260208560195

Hofstein, A., & Lunetta, V. N. (2004). The role of the laboratory in science education: Review of research and practice. *Studies in Science Education*, *40*(1), 29-75. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057260408560196

Hsu, H. Y., & Roth, W. M. (2009). Science teachers' practices and beliefs regarding inquiry-based teaching. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *46*(6), 665-684. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20306

Krajcik, J. S., & Blumenfeld, P. C. (2006). Project-based science for teaching and learning. In *Handbook of Research on Science Education* (pp. 316-334). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Luft, J. A., & Hewson, P. W. (2014). Research on teacher professional development in science education. In *Handbook of Research on Science Education* (pp. 345-360). Routledge.

National Research Council. (2012). *A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas*. The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/13165

Osborne, J., & Dillon, J. (2008). Science education in Europe: Critical reflections. *European Journal of Science Education*, *32*(2), 275-296. https://doi.org/10.1080/01405280701868120

Peters, M., & Mintz, J. (2011). Integrating technology into science education: The role of professional development. *International Journal of Science Education*, *33*(15), 2135-2156. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2010.526470

Roth, W. M., & Lee, S. (2004). Science education as situated cognition: The role of context and practice. *Educational Psychologist*, *39*(4), 239-244. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep3904_3

Sandoval, W., & Morrison, D. (2007). High school science teachers' conceptualizations of inquiry-based learning. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *44*(5), 565-581. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20181

Shulman, L. S. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, *57*(1), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.57.1.j463w79r56455411

Simon, S., & Tytler, R. (2010). Teaching and learning science through inquiry. In *The Nature of Science: An Introduction to the Philosophy of Science* (pp. 321-339). Cambridge University Press.

Stark, P., & Bell, R. L. (2008). Assessment of science knowledge and skills: A review of current practices. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, *17*(2), 141-155. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-008-9123-3

Tobin, K., & McRobbie, C. J. (1996). The role of laboratory activities in the science curriculum. *Science Education*, *80*(4), 413-435. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-237X(199607)80:4<413::AID-SCE1>3.0.CO;2-2

Wang, J., & Su, H. (2011). Teacher professional development in science education: Current trends and future directions. *Science Education Review, 10*(2), 63-75. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20429

Wellington, J., & Ireson, G. (2012). Science education for the twenty-first century: The importance of pedagogy and curriculum design. *International Journal of Science Education, 34*(5), 735-754. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2011.566580

IJCISS
International Journal of Contemporary Issues in Social Science