International Journal of Contemporary Issues in Social Sciences
Volume 3, Issue 2, 2024 ISSN(P):2959-3808 | 2959-2461

EXPLORING THE INTEGRATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE WITHIN STEM EDUCATION THROUGH THE TPACK FRAMEWORK: EDUCATORS' PERSPECTIVES AND EXPERIENCES

Dr. Zahida Javed[*1], Dr. Khaliq Hussain[2], Saba Lajventi[3], Asma Bibi[4]

[*1]Assistant Professor University of Education Lahore;
[2]Dr.khaliq Hussain Assistant Professor Riphah international university campus Faisalabad;
[3]MS.Education, Department of Education, University of Sialkot, Sialkot, Punjab, Pakistan;
[4]M. Phil Education university of Narowal

[*1]zahida.javed@ue.edu.pk; [2]khaliqhussain6767@gmail.com; [3]sabalajventi@gmail.com;
[4]asma.idrees.14@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: *

Received: April 05, 2024 **Revised:** May 29, 2024 **Accepted:** June 17, 2024 **Published:** June 30, 2024

ABSTRACT

In this paper researchers explore the suggestions for integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in STEM education by examining educators' views and experiences based on the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK). While AI is becoming more prevalent in education, a model does not yet exist to define how it can be integrated effectively into STEM curricula. For example, educators are having trouble integrating AI tools with their existing pedagogical practices and technology. While the TPACK framework may enhance some of these difficulties, earlier research has seldom employed it to AI integration in STEM education. This study intends to address this gap by explaining the lived-experience of educators' AI integration with reference from TPACK, challenges and best practices backfired as well outcomes due to such integration. This study could be important to drive these educations practices forward and shows how the teacher training can be improved in order for students to get better prepared for future job markets. The qualitative data will be collected by conducting semi-structured interviews of 15-20 STEM educators, using a purposive sampling to obtain an in-depth understanding of the challenges and supports required for effective AI integration into STEM education.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), STEM Education, Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK), Educators' Perspectives, Integration Challenges, Teaching Practices, Educational Technology, Teacher Training.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to explore the perceptions and experiences of educators on using Artificial Intelligence in STEM Education through the use of TPACK framework, which will determine effectiveness. The intersection of AI and education has become a focus of increased interest as AI technologies have the potential to revolutionize ways to teach and learn (Mustika & Sastrawati, 2024). TPACK was a framework that improved the need to harmonize technology, pedagogy, and subject matter content for innovative digital tool integration in teaching. While other related inquiries directly focused on AI in algorithms, they also see AI as a way to level learning, automate administrative tasks, and improve data accuracy for better learning platforms. However, these studies did not address how these theoretical assumptions apply to real-life learning, daily learning activities, mainly from educators (Schnider & Casaburo, 2024).

Although substantial AI applications have been achieved, the integration of AI in STEM education barely has enough empirical evidence generated through a teacher's perspective. Some studies have only explored AI's technical capabilities or educational applications without relating them with pedagogy and developing a conceptual framework

for such integration. Therefore, most researchers have not explored the integration of technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge as recommended by the TPACK framework (Li et al., 2024).

This paper seeks to contribute to closing this research gap by investigating how STEM teachers incorporate AI into their educational process based on the TPACK model. Taking into consideration the views and the experiences of educators can help to gain a better understanding of the difficulties and the anticipated benefits of introducing AI into a classroom which can be relevant to managing and policy-making objectives in this area in the future(Chai et al., 2020). Through examining the actual usage and opportunities for AI in the context of education, this paper can facilitate the implementation of the results of research in this area by giving a nuanced picture of the technology and it's potential (Zuhaida et al., 2022).

This paper was inspired by the recent acceleration of developments in the AI domain and the increasing role that AI plays in educational contexts. Given their professional background as an educator and strong interest in technology and STEM education in particular, the researcher became interested in exploring how AI can enable effective teaching (Morales et al., 2022). It is hoped that this study will offer a number of concrete implications and recommendations for educators, policymakers, and technology firms. Overall, the intention is to improve STEM education by promoting reflective and discerning use of AI, which would mean making it work as an actual enabler for teachers and students (Maspul, 2024).

Problem of the Statement

Despite the increasing attention AI receives in STEM education, a lack of clarity about tools or frameworks that can guide educators to integrate AI technologies and practices into their teaching hampers the implementation of the in-class AI. Additionally, educators require assistance in integrating AI with the existing STEM curricula, pedagogical approaches, and technological tools (Iswadi et al., 2020). One potential solution may lie in the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge framework; however, there is a little study on its application to AI integration in STEM education specifically(Meletiou, 2024). This research seeks to investigate the experiences and views of educators concerning the integration of AI into STEM education from the TPACK framework's lenses; explore the difficulties, related to this issue, determine the best practices, and the outcomes for the process of teaching and learning.

Significance of the study

This study holds great importance since it explores how artificial intelligence can be efficiently utilized in STEM education through the TPACK framework. This research allows obtaining the data on the perspectives of educators and their actual experience, which sheds light on key barriers and opportunities of incorporating AI in teaching. This knowledge may be used in order to better shape STEM education, make it more attractive and applicable for students (Thyssen et al., 2023). Furthermore, the results have possible implications for teacher education, which so far is an insufficient provider of the following field. Thus, a new generation of teachers who will be prepared to utilize already existing AI technologies, to make sure it will have a positive effect on educational results and the majority will be actively prepared for the future job market (Mansour et al., 2024).

Research Objective

1. To identify and analyze the challenges that teachers encounter when integrating AI technologies within the STEM education.
2. To examine how teachers utilize the TPACK (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge) model to effectively incorporate STEM education in their teaching practices.
3. To determine the support and resources educators require to successfully implement AI in STEM education through the TPACK framework

Research Questions

1. What challenges do teachers face when integrating AI technologies within the STEM education?
2. How do teachers utilize the TPACK model to effectively incorporate STEM education in their teaching practices?
3. What support and resources do educators need to successfully implement AI in STEM education through the TPACK framework?

Review of Literature:

A trend in this regard also seems to be highlighted much more how the Artificial Intelligence (AI) is making its way in STEM Science Technology Engineering Mathematics Education and AI has a great potential to revolutionize educational practices inn enhancing learning laying better foundations of students for technological future. In order to understand, how AI can be integrated effectively with STEM education it is important to know teachers perception of AI and what do they have been through same using established frameworks like Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK). This paper presented a review of literature on the state of AI integration in STEM education by adopting the TPACK model. This is followed by the Description of Findings, with important challenges and further research directions in Subsequent Section

AI in Education

This overview shows that AI in education has been used for a number of applications, such as personalized learning or adaptive assessment and intelligent tutoring systems. Using AI technologies, educators can give immediate feedback; analyze the learning patterns of a student and adapt instructional content to fit his or her needs. AI-powered tools have proven they can boost student interest in STEM, and increase performance levels by making the learning experience more immersive, personal.

The TPACK Framework

As a TPACK framework that was developed by Mishra and Koehler (2006) it gives an affluent message of how technology can be integrated among pedagogical practices TPACK is the intersection of three main ingredients: Content Knowledge (CK), Pedagogical knowledge (PK) and Technological knowledge (TK). AI can be used as a tool for teaching and learning but only with translations into practice, which requires educators knowledgeable in all of these domains or they will fail to properly integrated the technology without great damage to both STEM education and AI itself.

Educators' Perspectives and Experiences

A variety of attitudes and challenges are apparent in research on educators' views and experiences with AI in STEM education. Countless educators envision the capacity of AI technologies to ameliorate instructional strategies and boost student learning. They were, however, worried about the complexity of AI technologies and professional development, increased workload etc. Their confidence in deploying AI tools is commonly related to their previous technological experience and availability for persistent guidance and training.

Challenges in Integrating AI in STEM Education

Obstacles to the Effective Integration of AI in STEM Education These include:

1) **Insufficient Job Training:** In order to be successful in implementing AI, educators must receive job training that can enable them to learn the technological and pedagogical skills.
2) **Resource Constraints:** Another barrier is a lack of resources; schools usually tend to be bound by financial and infrastructural constraints which prevent them from taking up advanced AI tools.
3) **Ethical Considerations:** Implication of AI in education can give birth to ethical issues like data privacy, algorithmic bias and the possibility that AI might replace human educators.

Successful Integration Strategies

Effective AI integration with STEM education requires a systemic approach that incorporates:

1) **Collaborative Learning Communities:** Creating learning communities around educators can foster the positive use of AI tools, as will share best practices and resources.
2) **Curriculum Design:** Adding AI-related content to STEM curriculum can help students build necessary skills and knowledge.
3) **Professional Development:** Continuous training and support are essential in order to help teachers keep up with technology innovations and educational strategies.

In future work, longitudinal assessments should be carried out to determine the effect on STEM education over time. Moreover, there is an imperative for further examination of AI in supporting equity and inclusion within education to ensure diverse student requirements are catered . To achieve large-scale adoption of AI in Education, it is essential to design models that can be scaled and sustained while ensuring the issues of ethics & resource limitations are factored into them.

In this way, the integration of AI in STEM education is starting to show incredible promise as a process innovation for improving teaching and learning. A closer look at the TPACK framework however enables us to appreciate the complexity associated

with such integration, highlighting the balance of subject matter content (CK), pedagogical practices (PK) and technologies (TK) necessary. As long as the issues raised are dealt with and effective strategies employed, educators will be able to take full advantage of AI's capacity to change STEM education, readying students for an ever-changing technological world.

Research Methodology:

Research Design

Using the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework to review the incorporation of Artificial Intelligent (AI) in STEM education, a qualitative research design is implemented and presented in this study. We argue that this study contributes a more detailed and multi-faceted account for how AI technologies are used, and classifies the types, of these learning tools in STEM exams by examining educators' viewpoints and experiences.

Research Approach

Researcher adopt a phenomenological stance in order to capture the lived experiences of educators when they are using AI in their teaching practices. This reflective stance is adopted in order to appreciate the subtleties and complications involved in uptake of AI technologies specifically for advancing STEM education. Such method is used to pursue a profound understand of the particularities and complexity related with AI technologies adoption & delivery into STEM education.

Participants

This study focuses on STEM educators who work in schools. Researcher use a purposive sampling strategy to select respondents who have experience of AI integration in their teaching practices. Participant's size of 15-20 educators, which represents a broad cross section for perspective-based exploration and comprehensiveness in qualitative insights.

Data Collection Methods

1. **Semi-Structured Interviews**: An in-depth semi-structured interview is conducted with each participant. They include interviews, developing educators experiences and challenges as well as strategies to integrate AI in STEM education. Semi-structured interviews are loosely guided, in order to be able further investigate and explore new themes that arise on the spot.

Data Analysis

In the data analysis process, multiple steps stimulate a holistic comprehension of collected information's. Firstly, transcripts are produced where the responses of participants from interviews and focus groups will be expressed into verbatim accounts. After this process, thematic analysis is then performed on it to code the transcribed data. At this stage the initials of codes are produced from research questions and theoretical framework, for patterns or themes open coding is applied. The coded data is then grouped under themes and sub-themes, which are subsequently analyzed to identify relationships or patterns within the raw dataset. This analysis, informed by the TPACK framework, instructs us in interpretation of the results.

Limitations

The research admits several potential limitations, including the relatively small sample size and bias inherent to qualitative studies. This is being taken care of by using methods for data collection, rigorous analysis and considering the bounds of our findings in light of their real-world contexts. The research intends to deliver significant insights into how AI can be integrated in STEM Education based on educators' driving and inhibiting perceptions, consequently contributing with a solid foundation for researchers and promoters of future practice or policy measures.

Data Analysis and Discussion:

Challenges do teachers face when integrating AI technologies within the STEM education

Theme 1: Lack of Training and Professional Development

"The top obstacle is a dearth of high-quality teacher pre-kindergarten professional development. A lot of teachers are not confident about using AI solutions in the classrooms and making them useful. As stated by one teacher, 'we require much larger up skilling programs to learn how we can apply AI in our classrooms with value-spectrum trading" Participant 2.

Theme 2: Resource Constraints

"Resource constraints are a big barrier. Many schools do not have adequate funding to afford these new AI

technologies, or the hardware infrastructure to run them. Educators responded, 'Not every school can buy high level AI tools due to the constraint of our school budget that prevent us from using these technologies" Participant 4.

Theme 3: Ethical and Privacy Concerns

"AI raises ethical and privacy dilemmas for educators. They fear this could compromise data security and student privacy. There is a lot of lack information on how the student data privacy in these AI systems are maintained and used, leaves us disinclined to take them over" Participant 7.

Theme 4: Curriculum Alignment

"Curriculum Alignment is also a pain stream as the current curriculum does not have AI Technology that can be integrated with. It is always a challenge for teachers to successfully integrate AI tools into their teaching objectives. As put by another educator, "there are not a million AI applications that just drop straight into our STEM units without any adjustments."

Theme 5: Resistance to Change

" Change can be difficult for teachers and students, making resistance a barrier to this particular tactic. A few teachers are not agreeing to use new technologies because they feel comfortable with the old methods of teaching" Participant 18

The most clear challenge here is that it needs a goal, which my means you need to have the clarity (which in many subjects and areas are missing), but overall there will be resistance towards not bringing at away from anything related to conventional teaching" Participant 13

There are obstacles to the incorporation of AI technologies into STEM education that teachers will face and each one can be categorized under a different theme. Well, there is a serious training and professional development gap. Educators are somewhat ill-equipped to successfully integrate AI tools into their classrooms, made evident through the remark of one teacher: "We need larger training programs for an adequate understanding in using and applying AI in our lessons. This underscores the importance of constant, thorough teacher professional development for learning how to use AI technologies effectively.

The other big issue is resource constraints. Often operating under tight finances, schools are hampered by a lack of funding to acquire state-of-the-art AI programming and its accompanying infrastructure. A teacher wrote that, "Our school's budget does not allow purchasing complex AI tools which prevents us from fully integrating such technologies into our teaching experience!" This also clearly illustrates that financial impediments exist are preventing a complete integration of AI in STEM education, further underscoring the necessity for additional funding - illustrating how scarce resources actually are.

Though ethical and privacy concerns are equally significant challenges. However, teachers are often leery of data security and student privacy concerns related to AI system. Another said: "There is so much vagueness about what the AI systems do with student data and how they are secure we are reluctant to implement." It is indicative of a wider concern around the ethics surrounding AI use, and highlights that clear rules and adequate protections are required to keep student data secure.

AI technologies need to meet with the current curriculum of schools which is quite difficult. Teachers struggle to incorporate AI tools into their teaching however positioned and without requiring a major overhaul. In the words of one educator: "It is difficult to find applications with AI that integrate very naturally into our STEM planning process and without major modifications. This underscores the difficulty of creating, or finding AI tools that integrate into existing pedagogy and improve learning without upending current curriculums.

Finally, pushing teachers and students to adopt AI technologies can stop actors in the education sector from jumping in right away. Although a few educators stick to the old forms of teaching and that's why, it takes a lot more time for tech innovations to supplement into their daily life. One teacher quipped, "In general there is push back to change from traditional teaching methods that can make it harder to introduce things like AI." This should lead to change management interventions aimed at addressing the cultural and psychological impediments against adopting new educational tools.

Teachers Utilize the TPACK Model to Effectively Incorporate STEM Education in Their Teaching Practices

Theme 1: Emphasis on Technology Integration

"The TPACK model provides another great way to develop an understanding of what teachers can do in

order integrate technology within their STEM lessons. It helps students to see what and how things work - like complicated science concepts in interactive simulations that may be difficult for some to understand" Participant 1.

Theme 2: Balancing Pedagogical and Content Knowledge

"With TPACK, teachers can find a balance between pedagogy and content knowledge. This is crucial in STEM education because it's not just about knowing the science or math content, but also about how to teach it effectively. For example, teachers can use project-based learning to make math more engaging and relevant to real-world problems." Participant 8.

Theme 3: Enhancing Collaborative Learning

"The TPACK framework explains to use collaborative tools and strategies. My classroom is a blend of online collaborative spaces which allow the students to work on designing projects, test ideas and peer evaluation in various STEM tasks. This not only helps their learning but also encourages valuable team working skills. This not only enriches their learning but fosters valuable team building skills necessary for future success" Participant 14.

Theme 4: Personalized Learning Experiences

"One of the many benefits that TPACK has to offer is personalized learning experiences. Adaptive learning technologies allow me to differentiate STEM lessons so I better address the diverse array of abilities in my students. As a result, every student can learn at their own pace with all the support that they need" Participant 17.

Theme 5: Real-World Applications

"TPACK supports the making of authentic lessons that transfer to life experiences. I use data analysis software, for instance to teach students how real-world experiments and projects in science & math often involve wrangling with a spreadsheet. It gives learning a real-life purpose and preps students for careers in the STEM workforce" Participant 20

Participant 1 responses reveal several themes about how teachers apply the TPACK model in order to integrate STEM education and their employment with success. Technology integration - Participant 1 One participant stated that the TPACK model helps teachers to integrate their use of technology into STEM lessons in a more authentic way. One example of this is with the implementation of interactive simulations in science classes, providing students a way to better visualize complex concepts that might otherwise be difficult for them to grasp. This not only leads to better understanding and comprehension but also creates engagement in students towards the topic.

Participant-8 balancing between pedagogical and content knowledge. The TPACK model described by this participant enables teachers to balance high impact pedagogical practices with the requisite content for quality STEM instruction. This answers highlights the knowing is not enough cliché, and that we must not only possess knowledge in science or math content but also know how to teach it effectively. For example, by bringing real-world troubles into the math lecture room and having college students paintings to solve them collectively as part of their challenge-based mastering (PBL) technique - a coaching style we understand is extraordinary effective.

The contribution of participant 14 to disciplinary learning was the creation of an environment where collaborative learning can be enhanced. TPACK advocates the use of appropriate tools to enable learner collaboration. In this regard, the participant introduced learning platforms where students can have group assignments and share ideas, peer review their colleagues' work on various tasks linked to STEM. In addition to enriching the learning experience, these environments also install teamwork, a valuable skill both in academia and various professions.

Participant-17 Talks on Personalization of Learning Experiences The TPACK model is designed to make these tools more subject-specific, and thereby gives full support for the use of adaptive learning technologies that provides teachers with the ability to change lesson itself so more specifically meet all needs of each student in classroom. By doing so, we create a personalized learning environment where all students can progress at their own pace and be supported in getting them up to speed with STEM subjects. Teachers can improve student attention and learning, by addressing the unique ways students learn.

In the TPACK model, participant-20 stresses real life STEM educational implications. Another participant uses technology to bring in the integration by using advanced data analysis software for their science and math projects, while teaching students how to

manipulate large real world datasets. This not just introduces a better way of learning, but also gives them some experience which can be very helpful in their careers for STEM courses in future.

The TPACK model provides a robust framework for teachers to seamlessly embed STEM education into their teaching by infusing technology, moderating pedagogy with content knowledge, creating opportunities for collaborative learning environments and individualized learning experiences that have real-world application. The responses from each participant reiterates other dimensions in this comprehensive range of pedagogical aspects that illustrate how the TPACK model can make a difference to STEM education.

Support and Resources do Educators Need to Successfully Implement AI In STEM Education Through the TPACK Framework

Theme 1: Professional Development and Training

"Teachers require broad-ranging professional development programs to properly incorporate AI into their STEM curricula. Tools include but are not limited to continuous workshops and webinars, as well as consultations with subject-matter experts. As an educator pointed out, "we need a more continuous process for learning in order to keep up with the new AI tools and methods. That is... the aim of our project run out to build confidence and competence among educators when applying AI technologies in TPACK" Participan-3

Theme 2: Emphasis on Access to Technology

"It is essential to have access to the newest technology. School should be supplied with cutting-edge AI tools, software, and hardware. The teacher stressed that "without adequate technological basis, there can be hardly any AI in an AI STEM educator's work…". Thus, this point concerns high-quality internet access, modern devices, and AI applications specifically design for educational purposes" Participan-9

Theme 3: Curriculum Development and Instructional Materials

"AI must be integrated into the teaching of STEM subjects in a meaningful and sustainable way, which will also require training teachers to deliver an AI-informed curriculum. Lesson Plans. Easy to adopt lesson plans that are accompanied with instructional resources and activities focused on students-learning AI. "Having a curriculum in place for AI directly aligning with STEM content has made this integration very smooth, our participant said. This guarantees that learning is together associated with both technology and pedagogy" Participan-15

Theme 4: Administrative Support and Collaborative Networks

"Additionally, school administration support and collaboration with peers are indispensable. That is, administrators should back up the measures and provide the needed resources, and peer links can present similar challenges and possible solutions. An educator claimed, "Having a supportive community … overcomes the hurdles of AI integration". Altogether, collaborative work might support the creation of more creative and productive AI systems in the school." Participan-19

Theme 5: Focus on Student Engagement and Inclusivity

"The real challenge is making AI integration in STEM education fun and equitable. To ensure that the classrooms are interactive and more inclusive for different learning needs of students, educators must have access to resources. One audience member put it as 'If creatively and inclusively used, AI could be a potent medium to engage students. That means harnessing the power of AI to tailor education experiences and make STEM topics more accessible for everyone" Participan-20

Participant-3 states the issue of professional development and training. This participant believed that educators need in-depth programs to be competent AI literacy and STEM education implementers. This includes endless training workshops, webinars and expert consultations. A single educator wrote that there should be "increasingly frequent training opportunities to ensure one is up-to-speed on recent AI tools and methods. The ultimate end goal is to increase educator dynamics in the field of AI technologies within a TPACK model so that they can integrate these advanced tools into classroom teaching effectively.

The participant-6 highlights how access to tech and resources is a must. To enable successful implementation of AI in STEM education, schools require high grade artificial intelligence tools as well software and hardware. Another teacher in the same survey said, "Without adequate technological infrastructure you cannot come up with AI based

STEM education." The above statement demonstrates the requirement for good internet connectivity, support of devices and AI applications which can be used according to educational objectives. These enable quality AI integrated STEM education essential for our students.

Curriculum Development and Instructional Materials Essential is AI embedded in the fabric of this rigorous curriculum, not as a separate subject independent from STEM nodes. Education Providers need plug and play lesson plans, instructional resources, student driven activities to administer AI learning with minimal time. One participant shared, "Having a well-structured curriculum where we find ourselves in[te]grating AI concepts with the STEM content. This establishes that not only does education meet the standards from both a technological and pedagogical performance viewpoint, but also creates universal merged educational experience across all student accounts.

P-19 Support from Admin and Networking The school management needs to incentivize and support the use of AI, teachers must work as one team (your responsibility towards me is also my duty towards you) so that trainings are developed using a cooperative model. Admins must supply the necessary support, and peer networks can provide empathetic commiseration. As an educator explained, "It means a lot less hurdles to deal with around the challenges of running AI. Through collaboration as a group, AI in the classroom could lead to more progressive and transformative implementations that encourage others to analyze data together for constant development.

P -20 Student Engagement & Inclusivity The more autonomous AI becomes, the easier it will be to include it in STEM education as an engaging and inclusive part of curricula. Educators require access to content and tools that help them build more engaging, multicultural learning experiences for all students. AI has a potential to engage talents from passionate students when used innovatively and inclusively: one of the participants This includes utilizing AI to personalize learning and making STEM education available for all students. Educators can use this information to develop more engaging educational experiences that increase student interest, motivation and learning in ways necessary for all students to be successful with AI integrated STEM education.

In summary, the successful integration of AI into STEM education via the TPACK framework is a multilayered process. Teachers require on-going professional development and training, appropriate technology and resources, high-quality curriculum design with eyes toward instructional materials that are culturally relevant to students diverse backgrounds. It is clear from each participant's answer that the breadth of this support system change, so it can be expanded upon based on how users integrate AI into their STEM education.

Conclusion:

The integration of AI technologies into STEM education yields a plethora of challenges for educators led by themes as captured from participants. A key issue is a perceived lack of sufficient training and CPD. Most educators believe they have not been given proper guidelines in using AI tools and it is crucial that training program be initiated on an ongoing basis which will offer more confidence to the teachers for deploying such teaching with competence in education after considering AI within TPACK framework. This also abounds in the major challenge of resource constraints, incurred by limited budgets at schools that hinder their ability to procure high-end AI tools combined with the needed infrastructure. Such agility requires money, and with so many schools in financial straits already, a whole-of-nation commitment is needed to get the job done.

As well as the major challenges of ethical and privacy concerns pertaining to AI systems. Teachers are concerned about how to use AI without compromising data security and students' privacy, calling for strong supervision and relevant rules in the application of artificial intelligence technology in education. Aligning with current curricula is another complex matter for AI technologies. The barrier to overcome is how this can be well-integrated in the education ecosystem so educators do not have to substantially remake their system of education just for AI. This highlights the need for research to identify AI tools that supplement and improve student learning without threatening or changing existing teaching traditions. Another complicating factor of implementing AI technologies in STEM education is that educators and students are very resistant to change. As a result, we currently have challenge where our teachers reluctant to take the risk of leaving their longstanding teaching methods

which might be impediments for integration with new educational technology. This can only be done by employing change management strategies that address the psychological and cultural barriers preventing your employees from adopting new ways of working.

The effective adoption of AI in STEM education with respect to TPACK framework requires addressing such multidimensional challenges with a global approach. This includes ongoing professional development for educators, sufficient technology and resources access, strong curriculum support in collaboration with administrators that additionally focus on ways to ensure student engagement/inclusivity as well partnering with our future cohort of bio hackers to learn more about what they value the most when it comes not only learning science but also teaching valuable ethical guidelines. Every single one of these components is critical to help clear the hurdles and fully embed AI technologies into STEM education in a manner that better aligns our students with their future needs.

Recommendations:

Based on the challenges identified in integrating AI technologies into STEM education through the TPACK framework, several recommendations can be made to support educators in overcoming these obstacles.

1. The need to resolve insufficient training and CPD in sufficient time First of all, it is crucial. Educators need expansive training and continuous programs of professional development rooted in the incorporation of AI tools into STEM classes. This should involve workshops, webinars and expert consultations in order to help educators become more confident (and competent) with AI technologies within their teaching practices. Providing continuous learning opportunities will support schools in the important goal of keeping up with new AI tools and techniques, which are crucial if they want their teachers to be able to integrate these technologies smoothly into a myriad contexts.
2. Secondly, dealing with resource constraints is essential to ensure the entire implementation of AI at STEM education. But even if many schools are willing to do this, the reality is that only those with huge budgets would be able in invest of providing all their students of these advanced AI tools or software and hardware. To counteract this, more money and resources are required. Educational institutions need to invest in reliable internet connectivity, upgraded devices and special AI-related applications that can be top-notch for educational purposes thus giving students enough infrastructure needed for a solid technology-driven education especially when it comes to STEM.
3. AI itself must be held accountable for its ethical and privacy challenges via transparency frameworks with strong oversight. Educators want guarantees when it comes to the ethical use of student data and privacy safeguards related AI technologies. Transparent policies and protocols should address these concerns, aiding in the process of gaining greater buy-in from educators for deploying AI tools within their classrooms.
4. Similarly, ensuring AI technologies are in alignment with the current curriculum would be a collective effort. And so, there is help for educators to be able to create or even find A.I. that will make the current educational frameworks more efficient and effective without having asked them of doing mammoth changes. This typically includes building curricula that inextricably intertwine AI concepts with STEM content, so they can keep the pedagogy on par with modern technological trends.
5. Finally, overcoming the resistance to change among teachers as well students is essential. In order to address cultural and psychological obstacles to the use of AI, change management strategies must be adopted. Educators need to be empowered and motivated in the shift from traditional ways of teaching towards more interactive, tech enabled methods that can result into higher student engagement as well effective learning outcomes.
6. Schools can enable educators to integrate AI technologies into STEM education through the TPACK framework by implementing these recommendations. The whole-student perspective of the STEM ecosystems initiative is aimed at addressing current challenges, but also at creating a more generation next ready educational experience that will lead these students into successful futures within the field or in related areas.

References

Chai, C. S., Rahmawati, Y., & Jong, M. S. Y. (2020). Indonesian science, mathematics, and engineering preservice teachers' experiences in stem-tpack design-based learning. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *12*(21), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12219050

Iswadi, Syukri, M., Soewarno, Yulisman, H., & Nurina, C. I. E. (2020). A systematic literature review of science teachers' TPACK related to stem in developing a TPACK-stem scale. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1460*(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1460/1/012105

Li, M., Vale, C., Tan, H., & Blannin, J. (2024). A systematic review of TPACK research in primary mathematics education. In *Mathematics Education Research Journal* (Issue 0123456789). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-024-00491-3

Mansour, N., Said, Z., & Abu-Tineh, A. (2024). Factors impacting science and mathematics teachers' competencies and self-efficacy in TPACK for PBL and STEM. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, *20*(5), em2442. https://www.ejmste.com/article/factors-impacting-science-and-mathematics-teachers-competencies-and-self-efficacy-in-tpack-for-pbl-14467

Maspul, K. A. (2024). Enhancing Project-Based Learning in STEM Education with Integrated Technology and Coding. *Journal of Intelligent Systems and Information Technology*, *1*(1), 16–24. https://doi.org/10.61971/jisit.v1i1.20

Meletiou-Mavrotheris, M., & Paparistodemou, E. (2024). Sustaining Teacher Professional Learning in STEM: Lessons Learned from an 18-Year-Long Journey into TPACK-Guided Professional Development. *Education Sciences*, *14*(4), 402. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14040402

Morales, M. P. E., Avilla, R. A., Sarmiento, C. P., Anito Jr, J. C. A. J., & Elipane, L. E. (2022). Experiences and Practices of STEM Teachers through the Lens of TPACK. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, *19*(1), 237–256. https://doi.org/10.36681/tused.2022.120

Mustika, L., & Sastrawati, E. (2024). *Application of the TPACK Approach in Increasing Student Learning Activity Through the PBL Model in Mathematics Learning in Class V Elementary Schools*. *8*(1), 103–117.

Schnider, D., & Casaburo, F. (2024). *Impact of working with Arduino on mathematics and science teacher students ' self-assessment of TPACK and self-efficacy Impact of working with Arduino on mathematics and science teacher students ' self-assessment of TPACK and self-efficacy*. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2750/1/012043.

Thyssen, C., Huwer, J., Irion, T., & Schaal, S. (2023). From TPACK to DPACK: The "Digitality-Related Pedagogical and Content Knowledge"-Model in STEM-Education. *Education Sciences*, *13*(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13080769

Zuhaida, A., Widodo, A., Prima, E. C., & Solihat, R. (2022). *Developing Indicators for Science Teacher Competency in Stem-Esd Learning*. 10–17.